Journal of Population Therapeutics & Clinical Pharmacology

RESEARCH ARTICLE
DOI: 10.53555/4h89hk11

ANALYSIS OF INJURY PATTERNS IN HOMICIDE VICTIMS: A FORENSIC AUTOPSY STUDY

Nasim Irshad[1], Naseem Akhter[2], Asiya Parveen[3*], Aftab Alam Tanoli[4], Amna Shahid[5], Mobin Inam Pal[6]

[1]Assistant Professor Department of Forensic Medicine, Army Medical College Rawalpindi
[2]Senior Lecturer Department of Biochemistry, Sindh Medical College, Jinnah Sindh Medical University, Karachi Sindh
[3*]Senior Lecturer Department of Forensic Medicine and Toxicology Bilawal Medical College, LUMHS Jamshoro Sindh
[4]Associate Professor Forensic Medicine and Toxicology Loralai Medical College Loralai
[5]Demonstrator Forensic Medicine Department CMH Medical College Lahore Cantt
[6]MBBS, MCPS (Forensic Medicine) Associate Professor Faisalabad Medical University, Faisalabad

***Corresponding Author:** Dr. Asiya Parveen
*Email: asiyashahid56@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Background: Homicide remains a significant public health issue globally, and forensic autopsies are crucial for understanding injury patterns in victims. The type, distribution, and severity of injuries provide valuable insights into the weapon used, the nature of the attack, and the victim's circumstances. Identifying these patterns helps in reconstructing the events surrounding the homicide and aids law enforcement investigations.

Objective: This study aimed to analyze the injury patterns in homicide victims through forensic autopsy findings, focusing on the types of injuries, body regions affected, and the presence of defensive injuries.

Study Design and Setting: A retrospective forensic autopsy study was conducted, reviewing cases of homicide victims examined at Medicolegal Section, Liaquat University Hospital, Hyderabad from Jan 2022 to June 2022.

Methodology: The study included 130 cases of homicide, all confirmed through legal documentation and forensic investigation. Data were collected from autopsy reports, police records, and crime scene investigations. Injuries were categorized as blunt force trauma, sharp force trauma, gunshot wounds, or asphyxiation. Body regions, weapon types, and the presence of defensive injuries were documented. Toxicological analysis was also performed to detect substances like alcohol and drugs. Descriptive statistical methods were employed for data analysis.

Results: Blunt force trauma (38.5%) and sharp force trauma (30.8%) were the most common types of injuries. Head and neck injuries were the most frequent (61.5%), and defensive injuries were present in 29.2% of cases. Alcohol and drugs were detected in 42.3% of victims.

Conclusion: This study highlights the diverse injury patterns in homicide victims, providing crucial insights for forensic investigations. These findings emphasize the importance of understanding the mechanisms of injury to better inform criminal justice strategies and public health interventions.

Keywords: Alcohol, Asphyxiation, Blunt force trauma, Defensive injuries, Forensic autopsy, Gunshot wounds, Homicide, Sharp force trauma.

INTRODUCTION

Homicide, a deliberate act of violence leading to the death of an individual, is one of the most heinous crimes, profoundly impacting individuals and societies. Forensic autopsy, a cornerstone of modern forensic medicine, plays an essential role in determining the cause, manner, and circumstances of death in such cases.[1,2] Homicidal deaths present a wide spectrum of injury patterns, influenced by factors such as the weapon used, the intent of the assailant, the nature of the altercation, and the victim's circumstances.[3] Blunt force trauma, sharp force injuries, gunshot wounds, and asphyxiation are among the most commonly encountered mechanisms of death in homicide cases. The nature and distribution of these injuries often reveal valuable clues about the dynamics of the crime, including the level of force applied, the number of assailants, and the sequence of events.[4,5]

The study of injury patterns in homicide victims goes beyond the identification of physical trauma. It provides insights into broader societal issues, such as interpersonal violence, substance abuse, and access to weapons.[6] Globally, the epidemiology of homicide varies significantly, influenced by cultural, economic, and legal factors. For instance, firearm-related homicides dominate in regions with high gun ownership, while sharp force injuries are more common in areas where knives are readily available. Understanding these patterns at a regional level is vital for developing targeted preventive strategies and legal frameworks.[7] A forensic autopsy is a comprehensive examination that includes external inspection, internal dissection, and supplementary analyses such as toxicology and histopathology. These procedures not only identify the direct cause of death but also uncover associated factors, such as underlying medical conditions or evidence of prolonged abuse.[8] Forensic pathologists also document defensive injuries, indicating the victim's attempt to resist the attack, and other features like post-mortem artifacts that may influence the interpretation of findings. By correlating autopsy results with scene investigation and witness accounts, forensic experts can construct a cohesive narrative of the incident.[9]

Despite advancements in forensic science, the analysis of injury patterns in homicide victims faces several challenges. These include decomposition in delayed autopsies, limitations in identifying injuries from unconventional weapons, and potential biases in interpretation. Moreover, the emotional and ethical aspects of working with homicide cases demand a high level of professionalism and empathy from forensic practitioners.[10] This forensic autopsy study aims to analyze injury patterns in homicide victims, focusing on their characteristics, mechanisms, and distribution. By examining these patterns, the study seeks to contribute to a better understanding of homicidal violence and enhance the accuracy and reliability of forensic investigations. The findings can provide a valuable reference for law enforcement agencies, legal professionals, and public health policymakers in addressing the multifaceted issue of homicide. Through a detailed examination of injury patterns, this study underscores the critical role of forensic pathology in unraveling the complexities of homicidal deaths. It highlights the interplay between science, law, and society, emphasizing the importance of collaborative efforts in achieving justice and promoting community safety.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This retrospective forensic autopsy study included a total of 130 cases of homicidal deaths examined at Medicolegal Section, Liaquat University Hospital, Hyderabad from Jan 2022 to June 2022. Cases were selected based on inclusion criteria, which required the cause of death to be confirmed as homicide through forensic investigation and legal documentation. Exclusion criteria included cases with undetermined causes of death, natural deaths, and deaths due to accidental or suicidal causes.

Data were collected from autopsy reports, supplemented by police records and crime scene investigations. Each autopsy was performed according to standard forensic protocols, ensuring a systematic and thorough examination. The external examination was conducted to document external injuries, including abrasions, contusions, lacerations, incised wounds, stab wounds, gunshot wounds, and any other visible trauma. The location, size, shape, and direction of the injuries were meticulously

recorded. Particular attention was paid to defensive injuries, if present, as these provided evidence of resistance or self-defense by the victim. The internal examination involved dissection of the body cavities to identify internal injuries, including fractures, organ damage, and hemorrhages. The type of weapon used was inferred from the characteristics of the injuries, while the sequence and number of injuries were determined to reconstruct the events leading to death. Cases of asphyxiation, including strangulation and smothering, were evaluated for neck injuries, such as bruising, fractures of the hyoid bone, and other associated findings. Toxicological analyses were performed on collected blood and tissue samples to detect the presence of alcohol, drugs, or other substances that might have influenced the crime. Demographic details such as age, gender, and socio-economic status of the victims were also recorded to identify patterns and trends in homicidal deaths. All data were anonymized to ensure confidentiality. Statistical analysis was performed to determine the frequency and distribution of injury patterns among the victims. Descriptive statistics were used to summarize the data, and the findings were presented in tabular and graphical formats for clarity.

STUDY RESULTS

The majority of the victims were male (70.8%), with females accounting for 29.2% of the cases. The highest prevalence of homicides was observed in the 21-40 age group, representing 55.4% of the victims. This indicates that young adults are the most vulnerable demographic. Victims from low socio-economic backgrounds constituted 65.4% of the cases, suggesting a correlation between economic status and susceptibility to homicide. Middle-income individuals accounted for 30.8%, while high-income individuals represented a minimal proportion (3.8%).

Table 1: Demographic Distribution of Homicide Victims

Characteristic	**Number of Victims (n=130)**	**Percentage (%)**
Gender		
Male	92	70.8
Female	38	29.2
Age Group (years)		
0-20	18	13.8
21-40	72	55.4
41-60	34	26.2
>60	6	4.6
Socio-economic Status		
Low	85	65.4
Middle	40	30.8
High	5	3.8

Blunt force trauma was the most frequently encountered type of injury, observed in 38.5% of cases. Sharp force trauma, including incised and stab wounds, was present in 30.8%, while gunshot wounds accounted for 19.2%. Asphyxiation-related deaths, such as strangulation and smothering, were less common, comprising 7.7% of cases. Combined injuries, where multiple mechanisms of trauma were identified, were seen in 3.8% of the cases. These findings highlight the variety of methods employed in homicidal acts, with blunt and sharp objects being the most common tools.

Table 2: Types of Injuries Observed in Homicide Victims

Type of Injury	**Number of Cases**	**Percentage (%)**
Blunt Force Trauma	50	38.5
Sharp Force Trauma	40	30.8
Gunshot Wounds	25	19.2
Asphyxiation	10	7.7
Combined Injuries	5	3.8

The head and neck were the most frequently affected regions, with injuries documented in 61.5% of cases. This emphasizes the vulnerability of these areas in homicidal violence and suggests that attackers often target vital regions to ensure fatal outcomes. Chest injuries accounted for 23.1%, while abdominal injuries and trauma to the limbs were observed in 9.2% and 6.2% of cases, respectively. The predominance of injuries to critical areas underscores the deliberate nature of the attacks.

Table 3: Distribution of Injuries Based on Body Regions

Body Region	Number of Injuries	Percentage (%)
Head and Neck	80	61.5
Chest	30	23.1
Abdomen	12	9.2
Limbs	8	6.2

Defensive injuries were noted in 29.2% of the victims, indicating that these individuals attempted to resist or shield themselves during the attack. The absence of defensive injuries in 70.8% of cases suggests either a surprise attack, an inability to defend due to incapacitation, or situations where the victims were restrained.

Table 4: Presence of Defensive Injuries

Defensive Injuries	Number of Cases	Percentage (%)
Present	38	29.2
Absent	92	70.8

Blunt objects, such as rods and clubs, were the most commonly used weapons, responsible for 38.5% of cases. Sharp objects, including knives, accounted for 30.8%, while firearms were involved in 19.2%. Strangulation devices, such as ropes or hands, contributed to 7.7% of cases. A small percentage (3.8%) involved unconventional or unidentified weapons. These findings reflect the accessibility of certain weapons and their influence on the nature of injuries sustained.

Table 5: Weapon Types Used in Homicides

Weapon Type	Number of Cases	Percentage (%)
Blunt Objects	50	38.5
Sharp Objects	40	30.8
Firearms	25	19.2
Strangulation Devices	10	7.7
Others	5	3.8

Toxicology reports revealed that 26.9% of victims had alcohol in their system at the time of death, while drugs were detected in 15.4% of cases. The majority (57.7%) showed no traces of intoxicants. The presence of alcohol or drugs in nearly half of the cases suggests a possible link between substance use and the circumstances leading to the homicide.

Table 6: Toxicological Findings in Victims

Substance Detected	Number of Cases	Percentage (%)
Alcohol	35	26.9
Drugs	20	15.4
None	75	57.7

DISCUSSION

Homicide, the intentional killing of one person by another, remains a significant public health and criminal concern worldwide. Understanding the patterns of injuries in homicide victims is essential for unraveling the circumstances surrounding these violent acts and aiding in criminal investigations. Forensic autopsy serves as a crucial tool in this process, offering insights into the type, location, and mechanism of injuries, as well as the potential weapon used. Patterns of injuries vary widely, influenced by factors such as the method of attack, the intent of the perpetrator, and the socio-demographic characteristics of the victim.[11] Blunt force trauma, sharp force injuries, gunshot wounds, and asphyxiation are among the most common mechanisms observed in homicidal deaths, often targeting critical regions such as the head, neck, and chest. Additionally, the presence of defensive injuries provides important evidence of resistance during the attack.[12,13] This study aims to analyze injury patterns in 130 homicide victims, contributing to a deeper understanding of the dynamics of homicidal violence and supporting forensic, legal, and public health efforts in addressing this critical issue.

In our study, blunt force trauma (38.5%) and sharp force trauma (30.8%) were the most common injury types, with the head and neck being the most frequently targeted regions. This finding is consistent with the study by Patnaik et al. (2017), where the head (41.26%) and neck (31.74%) were also the most common sites for fatal injuries. Both studies highlight the head and neck as critical areas targeted in homicides, with blunt force trauma being a predominant injury type. However, Patnaik et al. observed a higher incidence of sharp force injuries (46.03%) compared to our study, where sharp force trauma was slightly less frequent (30.8%).[14]

Subramanyam et al. (2021) found that defensive injuries were present in 62% of homicide cases, which is higher than our study's 29.2%. This difference might be due to variations in the socio-cultural context, the nature of the crimes, or the type of weapons used in different regions. In our study, defensive injuries were more common in blunt force trauma cases, similar to Subramanyam et al.'s finding that blunt force trauma was associated with more defensive wounds, particularly in higher categories of homicide severity.[15] Gunshot wounds, which were the leading cause of death in studies like Marri et al. (2006)[17] and Ullah et al. (2014), were less prevalent in our study, where only 19.2% of victims suffered from firearm injuries. This contrasts with Marri et al., where 86% of the homicides were due to firearms, and Ullah et al.[20] observed firearms in 60.14% of cases. These disparities could be attributed to regional differences in weapon accessibility and homicide trends, with firearms being more common in some regions compared to others.

Raju Surwase et al. (2022) found that most victims were male (70%) and aged between 21-30 years, which aligns with our study, where the majority of victims were male (70.8%) and in the 21-40 years age group (55.4%). This age and gender distribution is commonly observed in homicide studies, suggesting that young adult males are particularly vulnerable to violent deaths.[18]

Furthermore, the predominance of blunt force trauma in our study (38.5%) is consistent with findings by Raju Surwase et al. (2022) and Slater et al. (2021), where blunt force injuries were more common in older age groups and in cases of intimate partner violence, particularly among females. However, in our study, sharp force trauma was still notably prevalent among young adults, unlike the pattern observed by Slater et al. (2021), where sharp force injuries were more predominant in other age groups.[18,19]

This study's strength lies in its comprehensive analysis of injury patterns in 130 homicide victims, offering valuable insights for forensic investigations. However, its retrospective nature and reliance on autopsy reports may limit the depth of contextual information, such as the circumstances surrounding the crime. Additionally, the study is based on data from a single region, which may limit the generalizability of the findings to other areas or populations.

CONCLUSION

This study highlights the diverse injury patterns in homicide victims, providing crucial insights for forensic analysis and criminal investigations. The findings emphasize the need for targeted interventions to address the underlying factors contributing to homicidal violence.

REFERENCES

1. DeJong C, Holt K, Helm B, Morgan SJ. "A human being like other victims": The media framing of trans homicide in the United States. Critical Criminology. 2021 Mar;29(1):131-49.
2. Fridel EE. Integrating the literature on lethal violence: A comparison of mass murder, homicide, and homicide-suicide. Homicide studies. 2022 May;26(2):123-47.
3. Talley D, Warner ŞL, Perry D, Brissette E, Consiglio FL, Capri R, Violano P, Coker KL. Understanding situational factors and conditions contributing to suicide among Black youth and young adults. Aggression and violent behavior. 2021 May 1;58:101614.
4. Fontanarosa PB, Bibbins-Domingo K. The unrelenting epidemic of firearm violence. J Am Med Assoc. 2022;328(12):1201-3.
5. Allison K, Klein BR. Pursuing hegemonic masculinity through violence: An examination of anti-homeless bias homicides. Journal of interpersonal violence. 2021 Jul;36(13-14):6859-82.
6. DeJong C, Holt K, Helm B, Morgan SJ. "A human being like other victims": The media framing of trans homicide in the United States. Critical Criminology. 2021 Mar;29(1):131-49.
7. Petrosky E. Surveillance for violent deaths—National violent death reporting system, 34 States, four California Counties, the District of Columbia, and Puerto Rico, 2017. MMWR. Surveillance Summaries. 2020;69.
8. Matias A, Gonçalves M, Soeiro C, Matos M. Intimate partner homicide: A meta-analysis of risk factors. Aggression and violent behavior. 2020 Jan 1;50:101358.
9. Roubalová M, Králik R, Zaitseva NA, Anikin GS, Popova OV, Kondrla P. Rabbinic Judaism's perspective on the first crimes against humanity. Bogoslovni vestnik. 2021;81(1):57-74.
10. Wilson RF. Surveillance for violent deaths—national violent death reporting system, 42 states, the District of Columbia, and Puerto Rico, 2019. MMWR. Surveillance Summaries. 2022;71.
11. Bows H, Herring J. Getting away with murder? A review of the 'rough sex defence'. The Journal of Criminal Law. 2020 Dec;84(6):525-38.
12. Lysova A. Challenges to the veracity and the international comparability of Russian homicide statistics. European journal of criminology. 2020;17(4):399-419.
13. Carr MJ, Mok PL, Antonsen S, Pedersen CB, Webb RT. Self-harm and violent criminality linked with parental death during childhood. Psychological medicine. 2020 May;50(7):1224-32.
14. Patnaik KK, Das S, Mohanty S, Panigrahi H. Pattern of isolated fatal mechanical injury in homicidal deaths: A cross-sectional study. Journal of clinical and diagnostic research: JCDR. 2017 Sep;11(9):HC01.
15. Subramanyam S, Janardhanan J. Analysis of Defence Injuries in Homicide Cases Categorised in Accordance to Homicide Injury Scale. Indian Journal of Forensic Medicine & Toxicology. 2021 Mar 24;15(2):1010-5.
16. Mathews S, Abrahams N, Jewkes R, Martin LJ, Lombard C, Vetten L. Injury patterns of female homicide victims in South Africa. Journal of Trauma and Acute Care Surgery. 2009 Jul 1;67(1):168-72.
17. Marri MZ, Bashir MZ, Munawar AZ, Khalil ZH, urRehman Khalil I. Analysis of homicidal deaths in Peshawar, Pakistan. Journal of Ayub Medical College Abbottabad. 2006;18(4):30-3.
18. Raju Surwase. An Analysis of Injuries in Homicidal Deaths based on Cross-Sectional Autopsies. International Journal of Pharmaceutical and Clinical Research 2020; 15(6); 2378-2384.
19. Slater S, Subramanyam S. An autopsy study on injuries in homicidal deaths due to weapons. Indian Journal of Forensic and Community Medicine. 2021;8(3):157-60.
20. Ullah A, Raja A, Aamir Y, Hamid A, Khan J. Pattern of causes of death in homicidal cases on autopsy in Pakistan. Gomal Journal of Medical Sciences. 2014;12(4).