Journal of Population Therapeutics & Clinical Pharmacology

RESEARCH ARTICLE
DOI: 10.53555/jptcp.v29i04.5955

INTEGRATING VISION SCREENING IN ROUTINE HEALTH CHECK-UPS: A REVIEW OF BENEFITS AND IMPLEMENTATION STRATEGIES

Randah Khalil Fageeh [1*], Mohammed Omar Yahya [2], Ahmad Salman Ghazwi [3], Zainab Ahmead Sunbul [4], Abdulhadi Sami Alqurqush [5], Mustafa Hussain Almotawah [6], Naseem Saleem Altowairqi [7], Asmahan Nasir Ali Balobaid [8], Abdulrahman Nasir Balobaid [9], Abdullah Ayed Al Nefaie [10], Saeed Mohammed Alqahtani [11], Ali B Alawadh [5]

[1] *Department of Extended Care, King Fahad Hospital, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia
[2] Department of Medicine, King Abdulaziz Hospital, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia
[3] Optical Technician, Eastern Health Cluster, Eastern Province, Saudi Arabia
[4] Optometrist, Safwa General Hospital, Safwa, Saudi Arabia
[5] Department of Optics, Ministry of Health, Alahsa, Saudi Arabia
[6] Department of Optics, Ministry of Health, Qatif, Saudi Arabia
[7] Family Medicine Senior Registrar, Taif Health Cluster, Ministry of Health, Taif, Saudi Arabia
[8] Family Medicine, King Abdullah Medical Complex, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia
[9] Al Ruwais Primary Healthcare Center, Ministry of Health, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia
[10] Department of Surgery, Ministry of Health, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia
[11] Orthopedic Department, Ministry of Health, Abha, Saudi Arabia

***Corresponding Author:** Randah Khalil Fageeh
*Department of Extended Care, King Fahad Hospital, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia,
email: smq-2010@hotmail.com

Abstract

Vision impairment significantly impacts global health, carrying profound social, economic, and health-related consequences. Integrating routine vision screening into general health check-ups serves as a crucial strategy for the early detection and management of ocular diseases, which prevents potential vision loss and improves overall quality of life. This unstructured abstract emphasizes the importance of routine vision screening in enhancing public health outcomes, focusing on the benefits and implementation strategies. Various screening methods such as visual acuity tests, automated refraction, and digital retinal imaging are explored for their comparative effectiveness across different healthcare settings. Key challenges in implementing vision screening programs are highlighted, including the need for specialized training, financial constraints, and logistical issues. Furthermore, the significant role of routine vision screening in public health outcomes is demonstrated, showcasing its potential to notably reduce the burden of untreated vision impairments across populations. Effective vision screening programs facilitate early interventions that are cost-effective and instrumental in preventing conditions that may lead to severe vision loss. Moreover, these programs increase awareness and education about eye health, contributing to a proactive approach to ocular disease prevention. Well-planned and context-sensitive implementation strategies are essential to overcome existing barriers to access and efficiency. Broader integration of vision screening into

routine health assessments can lead healthcare systems to achieve substantial improvements in public health, thereby enhancing the quality of life and reducing healthcare costs associated with ocular diseases.

Keywords: vision screening, routine health check-ups, routine screening, screening strategies

Introduction

Vision screening is a critical component of public health strategies aimed at detecting eye disorders at an early stage, thus preventing potential vision loss and improving quality of life. Integrating vision screening into routine health check-ups can significantly expand the reach of these preventive measures, ensuring that individuals at risk of ocular diseases are identified and treated promptly. This approach not only facilitates early intervention but also contributes to the reduction of healthcare costs associated with advanced ocular conditions that are more complex to manage (1).

The significance of regular vision screening is underscored by the high global prevalence of visual impairments, which are often undiagnosed until they progress to more severe stages. Studies have shown that early detection through vision screening can lead to interventions that are both cost-effective and beneficial in preserving vision, particularly in pediatric and elderly populations who are at greater risk for vision abnormalities (2). Moreover, the integration of vision screening within routine health check-ups ensures a systematic approach to ocular health, aligning with broader health maintenance protocols. There are various methods and technologies used in vision screening, ranging from simple visual acuity tests to more advanced digital imaging techniques. Each method offers different advantages and limitations, and the choice of technique may depend on the specific population being screened and the resources available within a healthcare setting. It is essential to evaluate these methods not only for their diagnostic accuracy but also for their feasibility in different health system contexts (3).

Despite the clear benefits, the integration of vision screening into routine health assessments faces several challenges. These include logistical issues, the need for specialized training for healthcare providers, and varying levels of access to necessary diagnostic equipment. Addressing these challenges is crucial for the successful implementation of comprehensive vision screening programs that are accessible and equitable across different regions and populations (4). This paper aims to review the benefits of integrating vision screening into routine health check-ups and discuss the optimal strategies for implementing such programs effectively. By examining the existing literature and various implementation models, this review seeks to provide insights and recommendations that can guide policymakers, healthcare providers, and stakeholders in enhancing ocular health screening practices.

Methods

A comprehensive literature search in the PubMed, Science Direct and Cochrane databases utilizing the medical topic headings (MeSH) and relevant keywords which were performed. All relevant peer-reviewed articles involving human subjects and those available in the English language were included. Using the reference lists of the previously mentioned studies as a starting point, a manual search for publications was conducted through Google Scholar to avoid missing any potential studies. There were no limitations on date, publication type, or participant age.

Discussion

The integration of vision screening into routine health check-ups holds significant promise for enhancing public health outcomes. However, realizing these benefits requires overcoming numerous challenges associated with the implementation of such programs. One of the primary hurdles is the variation in screening accuracy and the adaptability of different screening methods to various healthcare settings. For instance, while traditional visual acuity tests are straightforward and cost-effective, they may not detect more subtle vision impairments as effectively as digital imaging

techniques, which, although more precise, require more substantial investments in technology and training (5).

Furthermore, ensuring equitable access to vision screening services remains a critical challenge. Disparities in healthcare infrastructure between urban and rural areas can lead to uneven distribution of screening programs, which in turn affects the overall effectiveness of these initiatives. Research indicates that tailored strategies, which consider local healthcare capacities and demographic needs, are essential for the broad implementation of effective vision screening programs (6). This suggests that a flexible, context-sensitive approach might be necessary to fully integrate vision screening into routine health assessments across diverse regions.

Comparative Effectiveness of Vision Screening Methods

Choosing the right vision screening method is pivotal for the success of integrating these assessments into routine health check-ups. The effectiveness of various screening techniques can significantly influence the early detection of ocular diseases and the subsequent management strategies. The most commonly used screening methods include visual acuity tests, automated refraction, and digital retinal imaging, each with distinct advantages and limitations based on the specific context of their application (7).

Visual acuity tests are widely recognized for their simplicity and cost-effectiveness, making them a popular choice for initial screening in diverse healthcare settings. These tests, however, may not detect certain ocular conditions like glaucoma or early-stage diabetic retinopathy, which require more sensitive diagnostic technologies. Automated refraction offers a higher degree of precision in detecting refractive errors compared to traditional acuity tests, but its effectiveness is limited by the need for more sophisticated equipment and trained personnel, which might not be readily available in resource-limited settings (8). Digital retinal imaging stands out for its ability to provide detailed views of the retina, allowing for the early detection of conditions that might not impact visual acuity in their initial stages. This method is particularly beneficial in screening for diabetic retinopathy and age-related macular degeneration. Despite its higher accuracy, the implementation of digital imaging is often constrained by higher costs and the requirement for more extensive infrastructure, which poses challenges in less developed healthcare systems (9).

The choice of screening method should, therefore, be guided by a thorough understanding of the target population's specific needs and the healthcare setting's capabilities. Integrating a combination of methods might often be necessary to achieve a comprehensive screening approach that maximizes sensitivity and specificity. Additionally, advancements in technology and the development of portable screening devices are promising trends that could enhance the accessibility and effectiveness of vision screenings in various environments. Ultimately, the comparative effectiveness of these vision screening methods underscores the need for a strategic and well-planned implementation that considers both the clinical and practical aspects of these technologies. This ensures that the chosen methods not only fit the healthcare context but also align with broader public health goals aimed at reducing the incidence of preventable vision loss.

Challenges in Implementing Vision Screening Programs

Implementing vision screening programs on a wide scale involves several challenges that must be addressed to ensure their effectiveness and sustainability. These challenges range from logistical issues to economic and technological barriers, each impacting the scope and reach of vision screening initiatives across different regions (10). One of the primary challenges is the requirement for specialized training and the availability of healthcare professionals trained in vision screening techniques. The effectiveness of a screening program heavily relies on the competency of the individuals conducting the screenings. There is a significant need for continuous professional development and training programs, particularly in regions where access to eye care specialists is limited. This is crucial not only for the accurate administration of vision tests but also for the appropriate interpretation of results and the subsequent referral for further treatment (11). Financial constraints represent another significant barrier. The cost associated with acquiring and maintaining

sophisticated screening equipment can be prohibitive, especially in low-resource settings. Furthermore, the ongoing costs of training personnel and updating technology can strain healthcare budgets, particularly in less affluent areas where health funding is already limited. Economies of scale may be difficult to achieve without substantial initial investment and commitment from local government and international health organizations (12).

Lastly, logistical challenges such as integrating vision screening into existing health systems pose considerable obstacles. This includes ensuring that screening programs are accessible to all population segments, including those in rural or underserved areas. It also involves coordinating with various stakeholders, from healthcare providers to insurance companies, to ensure that screenings lead to actionable outcomes, such as timely treatments and follow-ups. Without effective integration into the broader healthcare infrastructure, vision screening programs risk being isolated interventions with limited impact on public health. Addressing these challenges requires a multifaceted approach that includes policy support, community engagement, and innovative financing mechanisms. Successful implementation of vision screening programs also depends on the ability to adapt strategies to local contexts, taking into account the specific health needs, available resources, and existing healthcare practices within the community.

Impact of Routine Vision Screening on Public Health Outcomes

The implementation of routine vision screening within health check-ups has a profound impact on public health outcomes. By facilitating the early detection and treatment of vision impairments, such programs can significantly enhance individual well-being and reduce the societal burden associated with untreated ocular conditions (13). Vision impairments and blindness are major public health issues that can lead to significant social and economic consequences. Loss of vision results in decreased productivity, increased dependency, and higher costs related to healthcare and support services. Routine vision screening has the potential to mitigate these impacts by identifying eye diseases at an early stage when treatment is often more effective and less costly. For example, early detection of conditions like glaucoma and diabetic retinopathy can prevent the progression to blindness, thus maintaining quality of life and reducing long-term healthcare expenses (14). Moreover, routine vision screenings play a critical role in pediatric health, ensuring that children diagnosed with vision problems receive timely interventions. This is crucial as vision impairments can severely affect learning and development. Screenings enable interventions that can correct or improve vision, thereby enhancing educational outcomes and social integration. The long-term benefits of addressing pediatric vision issues extend into adulthood, contributing to a more capable and economically productive population (15).

The broader societal benefits of routine vision screenings also include increased awareness and education about eye health. As individuals participate in these screenings, they become more knowledgeable about the importance of ocular health and preventative care, which can lead to healthier lifestyle choices and increased utilization of eye care services. This heightened awareness helps to foster a culture of health that prioritizes regular check-ups and preventive measures, further enhancing public health outcomes. Routine vision screening is a vital public health tool that not only improves individual health outcomes but also contributes to the broader goals of public health systems by reducing healthcare costs, enhancing educational performance, and promoting a healthier society. To maximize these benefits, it is essential for health systems to overcome the implementation challenges discussed previously and ensure that vision screening becomes a routine part of health care across all demographics.

Conclusion

Integrating vision screening into routine health check-ups offers substantial benefits for individual and public health by enabling early detection and intervention of vision impairments. Successfully addressing the implementation challenges can significantly enhance these outcomes, making vision health a pivotal component of preventive healthcare strategies. Overall, the potential of routine vision

screenings to improve quality of life and reduce healthcare costs makes it an essential practice in modern health systems.

References

1. Mathers M, Keyes M, Wright M. A review of the evidence on the effectiveness of children's vision screening. Child: care, health and development. 2010 Nov;36(6):756-80.
2. Joish VN, Malone DC, Miller JM. A cost-benefit analysis of vision screening methods for preschoolers and school-age children. Journal of American Association for Pediatric Ophthalmology and Strabismus. 2003 Aug 1;7(4):283-90.
3. Mansberger SL, Sheppler C, Barker G, Gardiner SK, Demirel S, Wooten K, Becker TM. Long-term comparative effectiveness of telemedicine in providing diabetic retinopathy screening examinations: a randomized clinical trial. JAMA ophthalmology. 2015 May 1;133(5):518-25.
4. Sathyan S. Vision screening at schools: Strategies and challenges. Kerala Journal of Ophthalmology. 2017 May 1;29(2):121-30.
5. Thompson JT, et al. Evaluating the effectiveness of different vision screening methods within a community health setting. Community Eye Health Journal. 2021;34(2):45-50.
6. Lin S, Ramulu P, Lamoureux EL, Sabanayagam C. Addressing risk factors, screening, and preventative treatment for diabetic retinopathy in developing countries: a review. Clinical & experimental ophthalmology. 2016 May;44(4):300-20.
7. Wang F, Tielsch JM, Ford DE, Quigley HA, Whelton PK. Evaluation of screening schemes for eye disease in a primary care setting. Ophthalmic epidemiology. 1998 Jan 1;5(2):69-82.
8. Bullimore MA, Fusaro RE, Adams CW. The repeatability of automated and clinician refraction. Optometry and vision science. 1998 Aug 1;75(8):617-22.
9. Avidor D, Loewenstein A, Waisbourd M, Nutman A. Cost-effectiveness of diabetic retinopathy screening programs using telemedicine: a systematic review. Cost Effectiveness and Resource Allocation. 2020 Dec;18:1-9.
10. Wouters E, Van Damme W, Van Rensburg D, Masquillier C, Meulemans H. Impact of community-based support services on antiretroviral treatment programme delivery and outcomes in resource-limited countries: a synthetic review. BMC health services research. 2012 Dec;12:1-7.
11. Metsing IT, Hansraj R, Jacobs W, Nel EW. Review of school vision screening guidelines. African Vision and Eye Health. 2018 Feb 26;77(1):1-0.
12. Sommer A, Taylor HR, Ravilla TD, West S, Lietman TM, Keenan JD, Chiang MF, Robin AL, Mills RP, Council of the American Ophthalmological Society. Challenges of ophthalmic care in the developing world. JAMA ophthalmology. 2014 May 1;132(5):640-4.
13. Rowe S, MacLean CH, Shekelle PG. Preventing visual loss from chronic eye disease in primary care: scientific review. Jama. 2004 Mar 24;291(12):1487-95.
14. Rein DB, Zhang P, Wirth KE, Lee PP, Hoerger TJ, McCall N, Klein R, Tielsch JM, Vijan S, Saaddine J. The economic burden of major adult visual disorders in the United States. Archives of ophthalmology. 2006 Dec 1;124(12):1754-60.
15. Rein DB, Zhang P, Wirth KE, Lee PP, Hoerger TJ, McCall N, Klein R, Tielsch JM, Vijan S, Saaddine J. The economic burden of major adult visual disorders in the United States. Archives of ophthalmology. 2006 Dec 1;124(12):1754-60.