Journal of Population Therapeutics & Clinical Pharmacology

RESEARCH ARTICLE
DOI: 10.53555/jptcp.v29i04.5812

EVALUATING THE EFFECTIVENESS OF AQUATIC THERAPY IN MUSCULOSKELETAL CONDITIONS

Waleed Mohsen Altowairqi[1*], Mohammed Ahmed Alshanbari[2], Noha Talal Attiah[3], Mohammed Hassan Radwan[4], Tahir Mansour Al Jassas[4], Osamah Hussin Mathkoor[1], Thabet Muslih Alsufyani[1], Fahad Muslih Alsufyani[5], Fahad Abdullah Alzhrany[6]

[1*]Rehabilitation & Physical Therapy, King Faisal Medical Complex, Taif, Saudi Arabia
[2]Department of Physiotherapy, King Abdulaziz Specialist Hospital, Taif, Saudi Arabia
[3]Department of Infectious Diseases, Public Health - Jeddah Second Health Cluster, Ministry of Health, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia
[4]Rehabilitation and Physiotherapy, Dammam Medical Complex, Dammam, Saudi Arabia
[5]Department of Physiotherapy, Alsahan Bani Saad Hospital, Taif, Saudi Arabia
[6]Department of Physiotherapy, Ministry of Health, Taif, Saudi Arabia

***Corresponding Author:** Waleed Mohsen Altowairqi
*Rehabilitation & Physical Therapy, King Faisal Medical Complex, Taif, Saudi Arabia,
email: wear5577@gmail.com

Abstract
Aquatic therapy, characterized by its use of the physical properties of water, offers unique advantages for the rehabilitation of musculoskeletal conditions by reducing joint stress, enhancing muscle relaxation, and facilitating easier movement compared to traditional land-based therapies. The buoyancy, viscosity, and hydrostatic pressure of water provide natural resistance and support, allowing for early intervention and safer exercise regimens for individuals with various musculoskeletal disorders. This review explores the effectiveness of aquatic therapy, comparing it with conventional rehabilitation methods and discussing the challenges faced by physiotherapists in its application. Significant benefits include reduced risk of injury, accelerated recovery, and improved psychological well-being. However, challenges such as facility access, practitioner training, and insurance coverage limitations impede its widespread adoption. Recommendations are offered for overcoming these barriers to enhance the integration of aquatic therapy into routine clinical practice

Keywords: aquatic therapy, rehabilitation, musculoskeletal conditions, physical therapy

Introduction

Aquatic therapy, also known as hydrotherapy, has been increasingly recognized as a valuable modality for the rehabilitation of musculoskeletal conditions. This therapeutic approach utilizes the physical properties of water to facilitate healing and exercise performance, offering unique advantages over land-based therapies. The buoyancy, hydrostatic pressure, viscosity, and thermal conductivity of water contribute to its therapeutic effects, including reduced joint stress, enhanced muscle relaxation, and increased ease of movement (1). Musculoskeletal disorders, encompassing a wide range of ailments from acute injuries to chronic degenerative diseases, significantly impact the global burden of disability. Traditional rehabilitation methods, such as physical therapy on land, medication, and surgery, have been the mainstay of treatment. However, these methods often come with limitations,

including pain during exercise, limited accessibility for severely impaired individuals, and the risk of side effects from invasive procedures (2). Aquatic therapy emerges as a compelling alternative, offering a gentler yet effective rehabilitation option that can accommodate a wider range of patients. The principle of buoyancy allows patients to perform exercises with reduced weight bearing, which is particularly beneficial for those with conditions like osteoarthritis, recent fractures, or obesity, where weight reduction on the affected joints can alleviate pain and enhance functional recovery (3). Moreover, the resistance provided by water can be utilized for muscle strengthening and conditioning without the need for weights, reducing the risk of injury and strain commonly associated with traditional weight training. Recent systematic reviews and meta-analyses have provided evidence supporting the efficacy of aquatic therapy in improving pain management, joint mobility, and overall functional outcomes in various musculoskeletal conditions. Studies highlight its role in speeding up the recovery process, improving cardiovascular fitness, and enhancing psychosocial well-being, making it a holistic treatment modality (4). Despite its growing popularity and evidence base, the application of aquatic therapy varies widely, and its potential is not fully utilized in clinical practice. Moreover, while the benefits of aquatic therapy are well-documented, there is a need for a more standardized approach in its application to ensure consistency and optimize outcomes across different patient populations and conditions. Addressing these challenges will not only enhance the therapeutic potential of aquatic therapy but also support its integration into mainstream rehabilitation protocols (5). This review aims to evaluate the effectiveness of aquatic therapy in treating musculoskeletal conditions through a comprehensive analysis of recent literature.

Methods

A comprehensive literature search in the PubMed, Science Direct and Cochrane databases utilizing the medical topic headings (MeSH) and relevant keywords which were performed. All relevant peer-reviewed articles involving human subjects and those available in the English language were included. Using the reference lists of the previously mentioned studies as a starting point, a manual search for publications was conducted through Google Scholar to avoid missing any potential studies. There were no limitations on date, publication type, or participant age.

Discussion

It is essential to emphasize the diverse mechanisms by which water-based exercises promote healing and improve physical function. The buoyancy of water reduces the load on weight-bearing joints, which is particularly beneficial for patients with osteoarthritis or those recovering from joint surgeries. This aspect of aquatic therapy allows for earlier initiation of rehabilitation, often leading to quicker recovery times compared to conventional land-based exercises (6). Moreover, the hydrostatic pressure exerted by water enhances venous return and reduces edema in affected limbs. This is particularly advantageous for individuals suffering from inflammatory joint diseases or post-operative swelling. The pressure also contributes to improved proprioception and joint awareness, which are crucial for the rehabilitation of any musculoskeletal injury (7). Additionally, the warmth of the water can help relax muscles and increase blood flow to injured areas, further aiding in the healing process.

Despite these advantages, the application of aquatic therapy is not without challenges. One significant issue is the accessibility of facilities equipped with therapeutic pools, which may limit the availability of this treatment option for some patients. Additionally, there remains a variability in the protocols used in aquatic therapy, which can affect the outcomes and the comparability of research studies. Standardizing treatment protocols could help in better understanding the efficacy of aquatic therapy and in making it a more mainstream treatment option (8). Overall, aquatic therapy offers a viable and effective alternative for the management of various musculoskeletal conditions. The unique properties of water provide both physical and psychological benefits that are hard to replicate with land-based therapies. Further research and standardization of practices are recommended to fully integrate aquatic therapy into rehabilitation programs globally.

Benefits of Aquatic Therapy on Musculoskeletal Health

Aquatic therapy has been increasingly recognized for its multifaceted benefits in the rehabilitation of musculoskeletal disorders, offering a therapeutic environment that reduces the mechanical stress on the body while providing sufficient resistance for physical training. The unique properties of water, including buoyancy, viscosity, and hydrostatic pressure, play a pivotal role in enhancing the therapeutic outcomes for patients suffering from various musculoskeletal conditions.

The buoyancy of water effectively reduces the gravitational force on the body, allowing patients with joint pain or post-surgical conditions to perform exercises without bearing their full weight. This reduction in load helps decrease the stress on joints and muscles, facilitating a broader range of motion and enabling activities that might be too painful or difficult on land. Such an environment is particularly beneficial for patients undergoing rehabilitation for lower limb injuries, as it allows them to start therapy earlier and safely increase their load-bearing capacity over time (9).

Furthermore, the resistance provided by water due to its viscosity is crucial for muscle strengthening. Unlike traditional resistance training, aquatic resistance is dynamically adjustable by altering the speed of movement, allowing for both high-intensity workouts and gentle rehabilitation exercises. This adaptability makes aquatic therapy suitable for a wide spectrum of musculoskeletal issues, from severe disabilities to minor injuries. The resistance also helps in improving muscle endurance and coordination, which are essential for preventing future injuries and maintaining musculoskeletal health (10). Hydrostatic pressure, another beneficial property of water, contributes to significant improvements in circulatory functions and reduction of swelling in injured or inflamed tissues. This pressure aids in venous return, helping to decrease edema more rapidly than in a terrestrial environment. For patients with chronic conditions like rheumatoid arthritis or venous insufficiency, regular aquatic therapy sessions can lead to sustained improvements in joint swelling and pain management (11).

Thermal properties of water also play a therapeutic role. Warm water can soothe sore muscles and joints, enhancing muscle relaxation and pain relief. The heat promotes blood flow, which aids in the healing process by delivering oxygen and nutrients to injured areas more efficiently while removing waste products. This thermal benefit is especially valued in treatments for chronic conditions such as fibromyalgia and other rheumatic diseases, where pain reduction and increased flexibility are primary rehabilitation goals (12). Finally, the psychological benefits of aquatic therapy should not be underestimated. The relaxing nature of water, combined with the physical benefits, significantly contributes to the overall well-being of patients. Engaging in aquatic therapy can reduce anxiety, improve mood, and promote a positive outlook, which are crucial for a holistic approach to musculoskeletal rehabilitation. The supportive environment of water not only facilitates physical healing but also supports mental health, demonstrating the comprehensive benefits of aquatic therapy in musculoskeletal health (13).

Aquatic Therapy Versus Traditional Rehabilitation Methods

When considering rehabilitation options for musculoskeletal conditions, it is crucial to evaluate the comparative effectiveness of aquatic therapy against traditional land-based methods. Aquatic therapy offers several unique advantages that may not be fully replicated in a traditional rehabilitation setting. Understanding these differences can help clinicians make informed decisions about the most appropriate rehabilitation strategy for their patients.

One of the most significant benefits of aquatic therapy is the reduced risk of injury during rehabilitation. The buoyant properties of water provide a safe environment for exercise, reducing the load on weight-bearing joints and decreasing the likelihood of falls and stress injuries. This is particularly beneficial for elderly patients or those with conditions that impair balance and coordination, who are at a higher risk of falls during conventional therapy sessions (14). Moreover, aquatic therapy can often be more effective in managing chronic pain conditions compared to traditional methods. The hydrostatic pressure and warmth of the water help to reduce pain perception and muscle spasms, creating a more comfortable setting for performing exercises. Patients often report

higher tolerance and lower pain levels during aquatic sessions, which can lead to more consistent participation and better overall outcomes in their rehabilitation process (15).

Aquatic therapy also facilitates a quicker return to function by allowing patients to perform exercises that might not be possible on land due to pain or weakness. The resistance of water provides natural and uniform muscle strengthening opportunities without the need for weights, which can be adjusted by changing the speed or intensity of movements. This aspect is particularly advantageous for post-operative patients or those recovering from serious injuries, as it allows for a gradual increase in exercise intensity tailored to the patient's recovery pace (16).

In terms of cardiovascular fitness, aquatic therapy has been shown to offer comparable, if not superior, benefits to traditional exercise forms. Immersion in water induces physiological changes that increase cardiac volume and improve circulation, enhancing aerobic capacity without the high impact associated with running or aerobic exercises on land. This makes it an excellent option for patients with cardiovascular limitations or those who are overweight and struggle with high-impact activities (17).

Finally, the versatility of aquatic therapy allows for the treatment of a broader range of conditions. Specialized programs can be developed for groups such as pregnant women, individuals with neurological disorders, and those undergoing cancer rehabilitation, providing benefits that extend beyond musculoskeletal rehabilitation to include psychosocial and emotional health. This holistic approach is not always feasible with traditional land-based therapies, which are often limited by the physical capabilities and pain thresholds of patients (18).

Challenges of Application of Aquatic Therapy Among Physiotherapists

Despite the documented benefits of aquatic therapy, its application in clinical practice faces several challenges that can hinder its widespread adoption among physiotherapists. These challenges often relate to logistical, educational, and institutional barriers, each impacting the feasibility and effectiveness of implementing aquatic therapy as a routine treatment modality. One of the primary challenges is the availability of appropriate facilities. Not all physiotherapy centers have access to therapeutic pools equipped to handle the specific needs of different patient populations. Building and maintaining such facilities require significant financial investment, and operational costs can be high due to the need for heating, cleaning, and staffing. This lack of access can limit the opportunity for physiotherapists to utilize aquatic therapy, confining its use to specialized rehabilitation centers or larger medical institutions with better funding (19).

Additionally, there is a notable gap in the specialized training required to effectively design and administer aquatic therapy programs. While physiotherapy training includes the basics of hydrotherapy, more detailed knowledge about advanced techniques and the ability to tailor programs to individual patient needs are often lacking. Continuing education and certification in aquatic therapy are not universally mandated, leading to a disparity in the skill levels among practitioners. This lack of standardized training can result in underutilization or incorrect application of aquatic therapy techniques, which may compromise patient outcomes (20). Another significant barrier is the challenge of integrating aquatic therapy within the existing healthcare frameworks and insurance systems. Many insurance providers do not cover aquatic therapy under standard rehabilitation services, categorizing it as a specialty treatment. This can make it financially inaccessible for patients unless they are willing to incur out-of-pocket expenses. The uncertainty about insurance coverage can dissuade physiotherapists from recommending aquatic therapy, preferring instead to opt for more readily reimbursed land-based therapies (21).

Furthermore, there are practical considerations related to the time and logistics of conducting aquatic therapy sessions. These sessions often require more preparation time, including the need for additional safety measures and patient assistance in entering and exiting the pool. The physical setup and teardown for each session can be time-consuming, reducing the number of patients a therapist can see in a day compared to traditional clinic settings. This increased time demand can be a deterrent for busy practitioners who need to balance treatment efficacy with operational efficiency. While aquatic therapy presents a promising avenue for physiotherapeutic treatment, its broader application is

curtailed by several practical challenges. Addressing these barriers requires concerted efforts from healthcare institutions, educational bodies, and policymakers to improve facility access, enhance practitioner training, and ensure better integration into healthcare and insurance systems. Overcoming these challenges will enable physiotherapists to more fully harness the benefits of aquatic therapy, leading to improved patient care and outcomes.

Conclusion

Aquatic therapy offers substantial benefits for the rehabilitation of musculoskeletal conditions, providing a unique therapeutic environment that supports physical and psychological healing. Despite its advantages, the implementation of aquatic therapy is constrained by challenges related to facility availability, practitioner training, and healthcare system integration. Addressing these barriers is crucial for enabling broader access and optimizing the therapeutic potential of aquatic therapy. Future efforts should focus on enhancing practitioner education, expanding facility access, and improving insurance coverage to fully leverage this valuable rehabilitation method.

References

1. Becker BE. Aquatic therapy: scientific foundations and clinical rehabilitation applications. Pm&r. 2009 Sep 1;1(9):859-72.
2. Verhagen AP, Bierma-Zeinstra SM, Boers M, Cardoso JR, Lambeck J, de Bie R, de Vet HC. Balneotherapy (or spa therapy) for rheumatoid arthritis. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews. 2015(4).
3. Waller B, et al. The effect of aquatic exercise on physical functioning in the older adult: a systematic review with meta-analysis. Age Ageing. 2016;45(5):593-601.
4. Batterham SI, et al. Aquatic exercise for the treatment of knee and hip osteoarthritis. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2011.
5. Hinman RS, et al. Aquatic physical therapy for hip and knee osteoarthritis: results of a single-blind randomized controlled trial. Phys Ther. 2007;87(1):32-43.
6. Templeton MS, Booth DL, O'Kelly WD. Effects of aquatic therapy on joint flexibility and functional ability in subjects with rheumatic disease. Journal of Orthopaedic & Sports Physical Therapy. 1996 Jun;23(6):376-81.
7. Schache MB, McClelland JA, Webster KE. Does the addition of hip strengthening exercises improve outcomes following total knee arthroplasty? A study protocol for a randomized trial. BMC musculoskeletal disorders. 2016 Dec;17:1-2.
8. Villalta EM, Peiris CL. Early aquatic physical therapy improves function and does not increase risk of wound-related adverse events for adults after orthopedic surgery: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Archives of physical medicine and rehabilitation. 2013 Jan 1;94(1):138-48.
9. Marinho-Buzelli AR, Bonnyman AM, Verrier MC. The effects of aquatic therapy on mobility of individuals with neurological diseases: a systematic review. Clinical rehabilitation. 2015 Aug;29(8):741-51.
10. Martinez-Carbonell Guillamon E, Burgess L, Immins T, Martinez-Almagro Andreo A, Wainwright TW. Does aquatic exercise improve commonly reported predisposing risk factors to falls within the elderly? A systematic review. BMC geriatrics. 2019 Dec;19:1-6.
11. Yeung W, Semciw AI. Aquatic therapy for people with lymphedema: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Lymphatic research and biology. 2018 Feb 1;16(1):9-19.
12. Davinelli S, Bassetto F, Vitale M, Scapagnini G. Thermal waters and the hormetic effects of hydrogen sulfide on inflammatory arthritis and wound healing. InThe science of hormesis in health and longevity 2019 Jan 1 (pp. 121-126). Academic Press.
13. Barbosa TM, Marinho DA, Reis VM, Silva AJ, Bragada JA. Physiological assessment of head-out aquatic exercises in healthy subjects: a qualitative review. Journal of sports science & medicine. 2009 Jun;8(2):179.

14. Kim Y, Vakula MN, Waller B, Bressel E. A systematic review and meta-analysis comparing the effect of aquatic and land exercise on dynamic balance in older adults. BMC geriatrics. 2020 Dec;20:1-4.
15. Pires D, Cruz EB, Caeiro C. Aquatic exercise and pain neurophysiology education versus aquatic exercise alone for patients with chronic low back pain: a randomized controlled trial. Clinical rehabilitation. 2015 Jun;29(6):538-47.
16. Marinho-Buzelli AR, Rouhani H, Craven BC, Masani K, Barela JA, Popovic MR, Verrier MC. Effects of water immersion on quasi-static standing exploring center of pressure sway and trunk acceleration: a case series after incomplete spinal cord injury. Spinal Cord Series and Cases. 2019 Jan 17;5(1):5.
17. Denning WM, Bressel E, Dolny D, Bressel M, Seeley MK. A review of biophysical differences between aquatic and land-based exercise. International Journal of Aquatic Research and Education. 2012;6(1):7.
18. Zivi I, Maffia S, Ferrari V, Zarucchi A, Molatore K, Maestri R, Frazzitta G. Effectiveness of aquatic versus land physiotherapy in the treatment of peripheral neuropathies: a randomized controlled trial. Clinical rehabilitation. 2018 May;32(5):663-70.
19. Muñoz-Blanco E, Merino-Andrés J, Aguilar-Soto B, García YC, Puente-Villalba M, Pérez-Corrales J, Güeita-Rodríguez J. Influence of aquatic therapy in children and youth with cerebral palsy: A qualitative case study in a special education school. International journal of environmental research and public health. 2020 May;17(10):3690..
20. Villalta EM, Peiris CL. Early aquatic physical therapy improves function and does not increase risk of wound-related adverse events for adults after orthopedic surgery: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Archives of physical medicine and rehabilitation. 2013 Jan 1;94(1):138-48.
21. Ashton A. Contextualizing aquatic rehabilitative practices in Canada (Doctoral dissertation, Université d'Ottawa/University of Ottawa).