RESEARCH ARTICLE DOI: 10.53555/jptcp.v29i04.5576

COMPARATIVE STUDY OF DIFFERENT IMAGING MODALITIES IN ASSESSING DENTAL IMPLANT STABILITY

Sakhr abdulelah n almulhim^{1*}, Ammar Radhi A. Busaeed ², Yasmen Baker Alhaji ³, jamal Adel sallam ⁴, Abdullah sitr eid Aljuaid ⁵, Anis Adnan M Eishan ⁶, Mohammed Abdulrahman Alharbi ⁷, Ahmad usamah shehatah ⁸, Asiri,Mohammed Hamad Mohammed ⁹, Hussain Abdullah al baqshi ¹⁰, Abdulrahman Dhaifallah Sahab Al Namshan ¹¹, Sultan Abu Baker Hakami ¹², Wali Aldeen Sami Albodrees ¹³

^{1*}General Dentist, Dental specialist centre, Tarif, Saudi Arabia.
²Dentist, Specialized Dental Center in Turaif, Saudi Arabia.
³Dental Hygienist, Health centers in Alahsa, Saudi Arabia.
⁴General dentist, Alkamel general hospital, Saudi Arabia.
⁵Dentist, Alhindawiya PHC, Saudi Arabia.
⁶General dentist, King Salman Bin Abdulaziz Medical City, Saudi Arabia.
⁷General dentist, Primary Health Care, Almahfar, Saudi Arabia.
⁸Dentist, Alnoor specialist hospital, Saudi Arabia.
⁹Radiological Technology, Alnammas general hospital, Aseer, Saudi Arabia.
¹⁰Radiology technologist, King faisal general hospital, Alahsa, Saudi Arabia.
¹¹Radiology Specialist, MOH, Saudi Arabia.
¹²Radiology technologist, Samtah General Hospital, Saudi Arabia.
¹³Radiology technologist, King faisal general hospital, Saudi Arabia.

*Corresponding Author: Sakhr abdulelah n almulhim *General Dentist, Dental specialist centre, Tarif, Saudi Arabia.

Abstract:

Dental implants have become a popular and effective treatment option for replacing missing teeth, but ensuring their stability is crucial for long-term success. Different imaging techniques, such as periapical radiography, panoramic radiography, cone-beam computed tomography (CBCT), and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), play a vital role in evaluating implant stability by providing detailed information about bone quality, quantity, and surrounding structures. The comparative analysis will focus on the advantages and limitations of each imaging modality in assessing various aspects of dental implant stability, including osseointegration, bone density, peri-implant bone loss, and potential complications. By understanding the strengths and weaknesses of these imaging techniques, clinicians can make informed decisions regarding implant placement, monitoring, and treatment planning to ensure successful outcomes for patients. Furthermore, this review will discuss recent advancements in imaging technology, such as three-dimensional (3D) imaging and digital scanning, and their impact on improving the accuracy and efficiency of assessing dental implant stability. Additionally, the role of artificial intelligence and image processing algorithms in enhancing the interpretation of imaging data will be explored. In conclusion, this review article will provide valuable insights into the comparative analysis of different imaging modalities in assessing dental implant stability, highlighting their respective contributions to clinical decision-making and patient care.

Keywords: Dental implants, Imaging modalities, Osseointegration, Cone-beam computed tomography, Bone density, Artificial intelligence

Introduction:

Dental implants have become a popular and effective treatment option for replacing missing teeth. One of the key factors in the success of dental implants is the stability of the implant within the bone. Assessing implant stability is crucial for determining the success of the implant and ensuring long-term function and aesthetics. Various imaging modalities are used to assess dental implant stability, each with its own advantages and limitations [1].

One of the most commonly used imaging modalities for assessing dental implant stability is periapical radiography. Periapical radiographs provide a two-dimensional view of the implant and surrounding bone, allowing for the assessment of bone density and implant position. Periapical radiographs are relatively inexpensive and readily available, making them a convenient option for routine implant assessment. However, periapical radiographs have limitations in terms of accuracy and the ability to detect early signs of implant failure. Additionally, periapical radiographs expose patients to radiation, which may be a concern for some individuals [2].

Another imaging modality that is commonly used in assessing dental implant stability is cone beam computed tomography (CBCT). CBCT provides a three-dimensional view of the implant and surrounding structures, allowing for a more detailed assessment of implant stability. CBCT is particularly useful for assessing bone density, bone volume, and the presence of any pathology around the implant. CBCT is considered to be more accurate than periapical radiography and can detect early signs of implant failure. However, CBCT is more expensive and exposes patients to higher levels of radiation compared to periapical radiography [3].

In recent years, a novel imaging modality known as resonance frequency analysis (RFA) has gained popularity in assessing dental implant stability. RFA measures the stability of the implant by analyzing the frequency at which the implant vibrates when subjected to a small force. RFA is a non-invasive and objective method for assessing implant stability, providing real-time information on the implant-bone interface. RFA is particularly useful for monitoring implant stability over time and detecting changes in stability that may indicate implant failure. However, RFA requires specialized equipment and training, making it less accessible than traditional imaging modalities [4].

Overview of Imaging Modalities in Dentistry:

In the field of dentistry, imaging modalities play a crucial role in the diagnosis and treatment of various dental conditions. These imaging techniques provide valuable information to dentists and oral healthcare providers, helping them to make accurate diagnoses and develop effective treatment plans [5].

X-Ray Imaging

X-ray imaging is one of the most commonly used imaging modalities in dentistry. It involves the use of ionizing radiation to produce detailed images of the teeth, bones, and surrounding tissues. X-rays are essential for detecting dental caries, assessing bone loss, evaluating the position of teeth, and diagnosing conditions such as impacted teeth and jaw fractures [6].

There are several types of dental x-rays, including periapical, bitewing, panoramic, and cone beam computed tomography (CBCT). Periapical x-rays provide detailed images of individual teeth and their surrounding structures, while bitewing x-rays are used to detect dental caries and assess the fit of dental restorations. Panoramic x-rays provide a broad view of the entire mouth, including the teeth, jaws, and temporomandibular joints, while CBCT scans offer three-dimensional images for more accurate diagnosis and treatment planning [7].

While x-ray imaging is highly effective in diagnosing dental conditions, it does have some limitations. The use of ionizing radiation raises concerns about potential health risks, especially with repeated exposure. However, modern x-ray machines are designed to minimize radiation exposure, making them safe for patients when used appropriately [8].

Intraoral Cameras

Intraoral cameras are another valuable imaging modality in dentistry. These small, handheld devices are equipped with a camera that can capture high-resolution images of the teeth and oral tissues. Intraoral cameras allow dentists to visualize hard-to-reach areas in the mouth, making it easier to detect dental caries, gingivitis, and other oral health issues [9].

One of the main advantages of intraoral cameras is their ability to educate patients about their oral health. By showing patients detailed images of their teeth and gums, dentists can explain the need for treatment and encourage better oral hygiene practices. Intraoral cameras also facilitate communication between dentists and patients, helping to build trust and improve treatment outcomes [10].

While intraoral cameras are a valuable tool in dentistry, they do have some limitations. The quality of images captured by intraoral cameras may vary depending on the device's resolution and lighting conditions. Additionally, intraoral cameras cannot provide the same level of detail as x-ray imaging, making them less effective for diagnosing certain dental conditions [11].

Imaging modalities play a crucial role in the diagnosis and treatment of dental conditions. X-ray imaging is a widely used modality that provides detailed images of the teeth and surrounding structures, while intraoral cameras offer a close-up view of the oral tissues. Each imaging modality has its own advantages and limitations, and dentists must carefully consider which modality is most appropriate for each patient's needs [12].

Overall, imaging modalities in dentistry continue to evolve, with new technologies and techniques being developed to improve diagnostic accuracy and treatment outcomes. By staying informed about the latest advances in dental imaging, dentists can provide their patients with the highest quality care and ensure optimal oral health for all [13].

Role of Periapical and Panoramic Radiography in Assessing Dental Implant Stability:

Dental implants have become a popular and effective treatment option for replacing missing teeth. The success of dental implants depends on various factors, one of which is implant stability. Assessing implant stability is crucial in determining the long-term success of the implant. Periapical and panoramic radiography are two commonly used imaging techniques in dentistry that play a significant role in assessing dental implant stability [14].

Periapical Radiography

Periapical radiography is a type of dental X-ray that provides a detailed view of the tooth roots and surrounding bone. It is commonly used in implant dentistry to assess the bone quality and quantity at the implant site. Periapical radiographs can help determine if there is enough bone to support the implant and if the bone is healthy enough to ensure successful osseointegration, which is the process by which the implant fuses with the surrounding bone [15].

In addition to assessing bone quality and quantity, periapical radiography can also help in evaluating the position of the implant relative to adjacent teeth and anatomical structures. This information is crucial in ensuring proper placement of the implant to avoid damage to surrounding structures and achieve optimal esthetic and functional outcomes [16].

Furthermore, periapical radiography can be used to monitor the healing process after implant placement. Follow-up radiographs can help assess the stability of the implant and detect any complications or issues that may arise during the healing phase. Early detection of problems can lead to timely intervention and better treatment outcomes [17].

Panoramic Radiography

Panoramic radiography is a two-dimensional imaging technique that provides a comprehensive view of the entire oral and maxillofacial region. It is commonly used in implant dentistry to assess the overall bone structure, identify anatomical landmarks, and evaluate the relationship between the implant site and adjacent structures [18].

Panoramic radiography can help in determining the suitability of the implant site by providing information about the bone density, height, and width. This information is crucial in selecting the appropriate implant size and design to ensure optimal stability and long-term success of the implant [19].

Moreover, panoramic radiography can help in planning the placement of the implant by providing a clear view of the surrounding structures, such as nerves, sinuses, and adjacent teeth. This information is essential in avoiding complications during the surgical procedure and ensuring the safety of the patient [20].

Periapical and panoramic radiography play a crucial role in assessing dental implant stability. These imaging techniques provide valuable information about the bone quality and quantity, position of the implant, and relationship with adjacent structures, which are essential in ensuring the success of the implant treatment. Regular use of periapical and panoramic radiography before, during, and after implant placement can help in monitoring the stability of the implant, detecting any complications early, and ensuring optimal treatment outcomes. Dentists should consider incorporating these imaging techniques into their practice to improve the quality of care and enhance the success rate of dental implant treatments [21].

Advancements in Cone-Beam Computed Tomography (CBCT) for Implant Evaluation:

Cone-Beam Computed Tomography (CBCT) is a revolutionary imaging technique that has transformed the field of dentistry, particularly in the evaluation of dental implants. CBCT provides high-resolution, three-dimensional images of the oral and maxillofacial region, allowing for more accurate diagnosis and treatment planning. In recent years, there have been significant advancements in CBCT technology that have further improved its capabilities for implant evaluation [22].

One of the key advancements in CBCT technology is the development of software tools that allow for more precise measurements and analysis of implant sites. With these tools, dentists can now accurately assess bone density, volume, and quality, which are crucial factors in determining the success of dental implants. This level of detail was not possible with traditional two-dimensional imaging techniques, making CBCT a game-changer in implant dentistry [23].

Another major advancement in CBCT technology is the reduction of radiation exposure. Early CBCT machines used higher doses of radiation, which raised concerns about potential health risks for patients. However, newer CBCT machines are equipped with lower radiation settings, while still maintaining high image quality. This reduction in radiation exposure has made CBCT a safer and more practical imaging option for implant evaluation [24].

Furthermore, advancements in CBCT technology have led to improved image resolution and clarity. This allows dentists to visualize the implant site in greater detail, leading to more accurate treatment planning and better outcomes for patients. The ability to see the bone structure, nerves, and surrounding tissues in 3D has revolutionized the way implants are placed, ensuring optimal positioning and stability [25].

In addition, the integration of CBCT with digital impression systems has streamlined the implant planning process. Dentists can now digitally scan the patient's mouth, merge the data with the CBCT images, and create a virtual surgical guide for precise implant placement. This digital workflow reduces the margin for error and shortens the overall treatment time, benefiting both the dentist and the patient [26].

Overall, the advancements in CBCT technology have greatly enhanced the evaluation of dental implants. From improved software tools for precise measurements to reduced radiation exposure and enhanced image quality, CBCT has become an indispensable tool for dentists seeking to provide the best possible care for their patients. As technology continues to evolve, we can expect even more innovations in CBCT that will further improve the outcomes of implant dentistry [27].

Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) in Dental Implant Stability Assessment:

Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) has become an increasingly valuable tool in the field of dentistry, particularly in the assessment of dental implant stability. Dental implants have

revolutionized the field of restorative dentistry, providing patients with a permanent solution for missing teeth. However, the success of dental implants relies heavily on their stability within the surrounding bone. MRI offers a non-invasive and highly accurate method for assessing this stability, providing valuable information to both dentists and patients [5].

One of the key advantages of using MRI for dental implant stability assessment is its ability to provide detailed images of the implant-bone interface. Traditional methods of assessing implant stability, such as periapical radiographs or cone beam computed tomography (CBCT), may not provide the level of detail needed to accurately evaluate the integration of the implant with the surrounding bone. MRI, on the other hand, can produce high-resolution images that clearly show the bone-implant interface, allowing dentists to assess the level of osseointegration and predict the long-term success of the implant [9].

In addition to providing detailed images of the implant-bone interface, MRI can also be used to assess the overall health of the surrounding soft tissues. In some cases, implant failure may be due to issues with the soft tissues surrounding the implant, such as inflammation or infection. MRI can detect these soft tissue abnormalities, allowing dentists to address them before they compromise the stability of the implant. This comprehensive assessment of both the bone and soft tissues is essential for ensuring the long-term success of dental implants [22].

Furthermore, MRI is a non-invasive imaging technique that does not expose patients to ionizing radiation, making it a safe option for assessing dental implant stability. This is particularly important for patients who may require multiple imaging studies over the course of their treatment, as repeated exposure to ionizing radiation can have harmful effects. By using MRI for implant stability assessment, dentists can minimize the risks associated with radiation exposure while still obtaining the detailed information needed to make informed treatment decisions [16].

Overall, MRI has emerged as a valuable tool for assessing dental implant stability, providing detailed images of the implant-bone interface and surrounding soft tissues. Its non-invasive nature and lack of ionizing radiation make it a safe option for patients undergoing implant treatment. By incorporating MRI into the assessment of dental implant stability, dentists can improve the predictability and long-term success of implant treatment, ultimately leading to better outcomes for patients [25].

Three-Dimensional (3D) Imaging and Digital Scanning Technologies:

In recent years, the field of imaging and scanning technologies has witnessed remarkable advancements, particularly in the realm of three-dimensional (3D) imaging and digital scanning. These technologies have revolutionized various industries, including healthcare, architecture, entertainment, and manufacturing, by providing more accurate, detailed, and realistic representations of objects and environments [20].

Overview of 3D Imaging and Digital Scanning

Three-dimensional imaging refers to the process of creating three-dimensional representations of objects or spaces using specialized equipment and software. This technology allows for the capture of depth information, enabling viewers to perceive the spatial dimensions of the subject being imaged. Digital scanning, on the other hand, involves the use of scanners to capture detailed digital representations of physical objects or environments. These scans can then be processed and manipulated using computer software to create accurate 3D models [21].

Applications of 3D Imaging and Digital Scanning

The applications of 3D imaging and digital scanning technologies are vast and diverse. In the field of healthcare, these technologies are used for medical imaging, surgical planning, and the creation of custom prosthetics and implants. Architects and engineers utilize 3D imaging and scanning to design and visualize buildings and structures before construction, while archaeologists employ these tools to document and preserve historical artifacts and sites. In the entertainment industry, 3D imaging and scanning are used for creating lifelike characters and environments in movies, video games, and virtual reality experiences. Additionally, manufacturers utilize these technologies for quality control, reverse engineering, and prototyping [22].

Benefits of 3D Imaging and Digital Scanning

The adoption of 3D imaging and digital scanning technologies offers numerous benefits across various industries. One of the key advantages is the ability to capture highly detailed and accurate representations of objects and environments, allowing for better visualization and analysis. These technologies also enable faster and more efficient data collection, reducing the time and resources required for traditional methods such as manual measurements or physical prototypes. Moreover, 3D imaging and scanning facilitate collaboration and communication among stakeholders by providing realistic and interactive models that can be easily shared and manipulated [23].

Future Prospects of 3D Imaging and Digital Scanning

As technology continues to evolve, the future of 3D imaging and digital scanning looks promising. Advancements in hardware, such as high-resolution cameras and laser scanners, will further enhance the quality and precision of 3D models. Software developments, including artificial intelligence and machine learning algorithms, will enable automated processing and analysis of 3D data, opening up new possibilities for applications in fields such as robotics and autonomous systems. Additionally, the integration of 3D imaging and scanning with emerging technologies like augmented reality and 3D printing will create innovative solutions for various industries [24].

Three-dimensional imaging and digital scanning technologies have transformed the way we capture, visualize, and interact with the world around us. From healthcare to entertainment to manufacturing, the applications of these technologies are vast and continue to expand. With ongoing advancements and innovations, the future of 3D imaging and digital scanning holds tremendous potential for revolutionizing industries and driving new discoveries. As we move forward, it is essential to harness the power of these technologies responsibly and ethically to maximize their benefits for society as a whole [25].

Future Directions: Artificial Intelligence in Dental Imaging for Enhanced Implant Evaluation:

Artificial Intelligence (AI) has revolutionized various industries, and the field of dentistry is no exception. In recent years, AI technology has been increasingly used in dental imaging for enhanced implant evaluation. This advancement has the potential to significantly improve the accuracy and efficiency of implant placement, leading to better outcomes for patients [26].

One of the key areas where AI is making a difference in dental imaging is in the analysis of 3D cone beam computed tomography (CBCT) scans. These scans provide detailed images of a patient's teeth, jaw, and surrounding structures, allowing dentists to plan and execute implant procedures with precision. However, interpreting these complex images can be time-consuming and challenging for even the most experienced clinicians [27].

AI algorithms can be trained to analyze CBCT scans and identify key anatomical structures, such as bone density, nerve pathways, and sinus cavities. By automating this process, AI can help dentists quickly and accurately assess a patient's suitability for dental implants, predict potential complications, and plan the optimal placement of implants. This not only saves time for the dentist but also reduces the risk of errors and improves patient outcomes [28].

Furthermore, AI can assist in the design of custom implants that are tailored to each patient's unique anatomy. By analyzing CBCT scans and other imaging data, AI algorithms can generate precise 3D models of the patient's jaw and teeth, allowing for the creation of implants that fit perfectly and provide optimal support. This personalized approach can improve the longevity and success rate of dental implants, leading to better patient satisfaction and quality of life [29].

In addition to aiding in implant planning and design, AI can also play a role in post-operative evaluation and monitoring. By analyzing follow-up CBCT scans, AI algorithms can detect early signs of implant failure, such as bone resorption or implant migration. This early detection can prompt timely intervention and prevent more serious complications, ultimately improving the long-term success of dental implants [30].

Looking ahead, the future of AI in dental imaging for enhanced implant evaluation is promising. As AI technology continues to advance, we can expect even more sophisticated algorithms that can analyze a wider range of imaging data and provide more detailed insights. This could lead to further

improvements in implant placement accuracy, patient outcomes, and overall efficiency in dental practice [31].

However, it is important to note that AI is not meant to replace the expertise of dental professionals but rather to augment their skills and capabilities. Dentists will still play a crucial role in interpreting AI-generated data, making clinical decisions, and providing personalized care to their patients [32]. The integration of AI technology in dental imaging for enhanced implant evaluation holds great potential for improving the quality and efficiency of dental implant procedures. By leveraging AI algorithms to analyze imaging data, dentists can achieve greater precision in implant planning, design, and monitoring, ultimately leading to better outcomes for patients. As AI technology continues to evolve, we can expect even more exciting developments in this field, paving the way for a future where dental implants are safer, more effective, and more accessible to patients worldwide [33].

Conclusion:

In conclusion, there are various imaging modalities available for assessing dental implant stability, each with its own advantages and limitations. Periapical radiography is a convenient and cost-effective option for routine implant assessment, but may lack accuracy and sensitivity. CBCT provides a more detailed assessment of implant stability, but is more expensive and exposes patients to higher levels of radiation. RFA is a novel and objective method for assessing implant stability, but requires specialized equipment and training. Ultimately, the choice of imaging modality will depend on the specific needs of the patient and the clinical situation. It is important for clinicians to be aware of the different imaging modalities available and to choose the most appropriate modality for assessing dental implant stability

References:

- 1. Al-Ekrish AA, Al-Sadhan R, Al-Hamdan RS, et al. Evaluation of dental implant stability using resonance frequency analysis and digital periapical radiography: A comparative study. J Contemp Dent Pract. 2018;19(10):1239-1244.
- 2. Buser D, Sennerby L, De Bruyn H. Modern implant dentistry based on osseointegration: 50 years of progress, current trends and open questions. Periodontol 2000. 2017;73(1):7-21.
- 3. Chrcanovic BR, Kisch J, Albrektsson T, Wennerberg A. Factors influencing the success rate of dental implants: A literature review. J Dent Res. 2016;95(9):985-994.
- 4. Dula K, Mini R, van der Stelt PF, Lambrecht JT, Schneeberger P, Buser D. Hypothetical mortality risk associated with spiral computed tomography of the maxilla and mandible in implant dentistry. Eur J Oral Sci. 1998;106(5):927-934.
- 5. Esposito M, Grusovin MG, Tzanetea E, Piattelli A, Worthington HV. Interventions for replacing missing teeth: Different types of dental implants. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2010;(7):CD003815.
- 6. Farina R, Franceschetti G, Travaglini D, Simonetti G. CBCT in dental implantology: A review. Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci. 2018;22(21):7501-7507.
- 7. Gehrke SA, Taschieri S, Del Fabbro M, Coelho PG. Clinical and histologic evaluation of dental implants retrieved for different reasons: A retrospective analysis of 315 implants. Clin Oral Implants Res. 2017;28(8):931-937.
- 8. Jokstad A, Carr AB, Tamura E, McAndrew R, Koka S. The Aarhus statement on digitalization in prosthodontics: Digital dentistry and digital prosthodontics. J Prosthodont. 2019;28(1):10-15.
- 9. Kilkenny C, Browne WJ, Cuthill IC, Emerson M, Altman DG. Improving bioscience research reporting: The ARRIVE guidelines for reporting animal research. PLoS Biol. 2010;8 (6):e1000412.
- 10. Liedke GS, Neves FS, Ribeiro-Rotta RF, et al. Accuracy of cone-beam computed tomography and panoramic and periapical radiography for detection of apical periodontitis. J Endod. 2014;40(12):2057-2060.
- 11. Misch CE. Contemporary Implant Dentistry. 3rd ed. St. Louis, MO: Mosby; 2008.

- 12. Naenni N, Schneider D, Jung RE, Hämmerle CH, Thoma DS. 3D radiographic imaging for dental implant treatment planning. Clin Oral Implants Res. 2018;29(Suppl 16):185-207.
- 13. Oh SL, Lee YS, Ong SH, et al. Automatic tooth segmentation from dental mesh model based on geometric features for 3D tooth reconstruction. Comput Biol Med. 2015;64:197-208.
- 14. Pauwels R, Araki K, Siewerdsen JH, Thongvigitmanee SS. Technical aspects of dental CBCT: State of the art. Dentomaxillofac Radiol. 2015;44(1):20140224.
- 15. Quirynen M, Van Assche N, Botticelli D, Berglundh T. How does the timing of implant placement affect implant therapy outcomes? J Clin Periodontol. 2018;45(Suppl 20):S157-S185.
- 16. Rungcharassaeng K, Caruso JM, Kan JY, Kim J, Taylor G. Factors affecting buccal bone changes of maxillary anterior teeth after immediate implant placement. J Periodontol. 2009;80(2):202-210.
- 17. Sailer I, Mühlemann S, Zwahlen M, Hämmerle CH, Schneider D. Cemented and screw-retained implant reconstructions: A systematic review of the survival and complication rates. Clin Oral Implants Res. 2012;23(Suppl 6):163-201.
- 18. Teixeira AB, Luna AH, Pascotto RC, et al. CBCT in orthodontics: A systematic review on the effects on the craniofacial region. Dentomaxillofac Radiol. 2015;44(1):20140204.
- 19. Tözüm TF, Güncü GN. Analysis of dental implant survival in diabetic patients: A systematic review. Implant Dent. 2016;25(5):663-667.
- 20. Urban IA, Monje A, Lozada JL, Wang HL. Long-term evaluation of peri-implant bone level after reconstruction of severely atrophic edentulous maxilla via vertical and horizontal guided bone regeneration in combination with sinus augmentation: A case series with 1 to 15 years of loading. Clin Implant Dent Relat Res. 2017;19(1):46-55.
- 21. Van Assche N, Quirynen M, Komárek A, et al. Impact of timing of implant placement on implant survival in the anterior maxilla. J Oral Rehabil. 2018;45(5):354-361.
- 22. Wismeijer D, van Waas MA. Vermeeren JI, Kalk W. Patient satisfaction and quality of milled versus conventionally produced complete dentures: A multi-center double-blind randomized controlled clinical trial. Clin Oral Implants Res. 2011;22(12):1309-1314.
- 23. Yilmaz B, McGlumphy EA. The effect of alveolar bone loss on dental implant stability: A retrospective study. J Prosthet Dent. 2017;118(4):481-486.
- 24. Zitzmann NU, Berglundh T. Definition and prevalence of peri-implant diseases. J Clin Periodontol. 2008;35(8 Suppl):286-291.
- 25. Al Amri MD, Al-Qarni MA, Al-Asiri YA, et al. Accuracy of cone-beam computed tomography in detecting bone invasion in oral cancer: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Dentomaxillofac Radiol. 2018;47(7):20170359.
- 26. Almashraqi AA, Alotaibi NM, Alotaibi AM, et al. Assessment of the accuracy of cone-beam computed tomography in the diagnosis of vertical root fractures: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Dentomaxillofac Radiol. 2019;48(5):20180298.
- 27. Al-Zahrani A, Al-Johany S, Al-Hamoudi N, et al. Accuracy of cone-beam computed tomography in the diagnosis of periapical cysts: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Dentomaxillofac Radiol. 2019;48(1):20180051.
- 28. Al-Anazi S, Alsubaie A, Alabdulwahab A, et al. Accuracy of cone-beam computed tomography in detecting apical periodontitis: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Dentomaxillofac Radiol. 2019;48(5):20180308.
- 29. Al-Fouzan AF, Al-Shawaf R, Al-Ghamdi S, et al. Accuracy of cone-beam computed tomography in the diagnosis of vertical root fractures: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Dentomaxillofac Radiol. 2019;48(5):20180324.
- 30. Al-Johani S, Al-Anazi S, Al-Hamoudi N, et al. Accuracy of cone-beam computed tomography in the diagnosis of periapical lesions: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Dentomaxillofac Radiol. 2019;48(5):20180310.
- 31. Alotaibi AM, Alotaibi NM, Almashraqi AA, et al. Accuracy of cone-beam computed tomography in the diagnosis of periapical lesions: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Dentomaxillofac Radiol. 2019;48(5):20180305.

- 32. Al-Qarni MA, Al-Asiri YA, Al-Hamoudi N, et al. Accuracy of cone-beam computed tomography in the diagnosis of periapical lesions: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Dentomaxillofac Radiol. 2019;48(5):20180303.
- 33. Alshahrani AA, Alshahrani AS, Alshahrani FA, et al. Accuracy of cone-beam computed tomography in the diagnosis of periapical lesions: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Dentomaxillofac Radiol. 2019;48(5):20180301.