Journal of Population Therapeutics & Clinical Pharmacology

RESEARCH ARTICLE
DOI: 10.53555/nmzf8n23

STIGMA AROUND MOOD DISORDERS IN THE WORKPLACES OF PAKISTAN: A SOCIO-CULTURAL AND ORGANIZATIONAL ANALYSIS

Dr. Zain Ullah Khan[1], Dr. Ali Ahsan Mufti[2]*, Dr. Shakil Asif[3], Prof, Dr. Raz Muhammad Kakar[4], Dr. Masood Ahmad[5], Dr. Azra Tabassum[6], Sana Ullah Kakar[7]

[1]Associate Professor, Balochistan Institute of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences (BIPBS), Quetta, Pakistan Email: zainbazai78@gmail.com
[2]*Head of Department & Professor of Psychiatry, Jinnah Medical College Peshawar; Consultant Psychiatrist, Ibadat Hospital & Horizon NGO for Mental Health, Peshawar, Pakistan Email: Aliahsanmufti@gmail.com
[3]Assistant Professor of Psychiatry, Mirpur, Azad Jammu & Kashmir, Pakistan Email: Drshakeel2@yahoo.com
[4]Professor & Head of Department of Neurosurgery, Bolan Medical College Hospital (BMCH); Principal, Bolan Medical College (BMC), Quetta, Pakistan Email: razbazia67@gmail.com
[5]Resident of Neurology, Bolan Medical Complex Hospital, Quetta, Pakistan Email: masoodkhan1621@gmail.com
[6]MD Psychiatry, Consultant Psychiatrist, Lady Medical Officer, Mohtarma Shaheed Benazir Bhutto General Hospital, Quetta, Pakistan Email: dr.azratabassum@gmail.com
[7]Balochistan Institute of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences (BIPBS), Quetta, Pakistan Email: sanaullah786.kakar@gmail.com

***Corresponding Author:** Dr. Ali Ahsan Mufti
*Head of Department & Professor of Psychiatry, Jinnah Medical College Peshawar; Consultant Psychiatrist, Ibadat Hospital & Horizon NGO for Mental Health, Peshawar, Pakistan Email: Aliahsanmufti@gmail.com

Abstract
Mood disorders, including major depressive disorder and bipolar disorder, are among the leading causes of disability worldwide and pose significant challenges in the workplace. In the context of Pakistan, where mental health remains a deeply stigmatized and under-discussed issue, individuals experiencing mood disorders often face additional layers of discrimination and misunderstanding within professional environments. This research explores the multifaceted stigma surrounding mood disorders in Pakistani workplaces, drawing attention to how cultural, religious, and institutional dynamics intersect to shape perceptions and responses to mental illness. Through an in-depth review of academic literature, media discourse, and qualitative interviews with employees across various sectors, the study uncovers prevailing attitudes that frame mood disorders as signs of personal weakness, moral failure, or unreliability. These perceptions not only discourage affected individuals from disclosing their condition but also prevent organizations from implementing meaningful mental health policies or support systems. Fear of job insecurity, social isolation, and reputational damage compels many employees to suffer in silence, often leading to burnout, reduced productivity, and long-term psychological distress.

The study also examines the role of organizational culture, leadership attitudes, and the absence of mental health training in perpetuating stigma. It argues that efforts to address workplace mental health in Pakistan must go beyond awareness campaigns, and instead require structural changes including anti-discrimination legislation, confidential support services, and culturally informed interventions that respect local values while challenging harmful stereotypes. By highlighting the lived experiences of individuals with mood disorders and the institutional barriers they face, this research contributes to the growing body of literature on mental health and work in the Global South. It calls for a paradigm shift in how Pakistani workplaces conceptualize mental health from a taboo topic to a legitimate aspect of employee well-being and human rights.

Key Words: Stigma, Mood Disorders, Socio-Cultural, Significant Challenges, Mental Health, Discrimination

Introduction

Mental health has become an increasingly significant area of concern in global discussions on occupational well-being, employee productivity, and organizational sustainability. Among various mental health issues, mood disorders primarily major depressive disorder and bipolar disorder represent a substantial portion of psychological conditions affecting working-age individuals. These disorders are characterized by persistent disturbances in mood, energy levels, and cognitive functioning, often resulting in reduced capacity to meet workplace demands. While many high-income countries have made considerable strides in integrating mental health support into workplace policy, low- and middle-income countries (LMICs) like Pakistan continue to struggle with limited awareness, structural neglect, and deeply embedded social stigma.

In Pakistan, mental health is a culturally sensitive topic, often shrouded in silence and shaped by a complex interplay of religious beliefs, family honor, and societal expectations. The national mental health infrastructure is underdeveloped, with fewer than 500 psychiatrists serving a population of over 240 million. Public discourse around mental illness is limited, and where it exists, it is often clouded by stereotypes and misinformation. Mood disorders are frequently viewed not as legitimate medical conditions but as indicators of spiritual weakness, laziness, or moral failure. These stigmatizing beliefs are not limited to the general population they pervade professional environments, influencing how employers, colleagues, and even HR departments perceive and respond to employees experiencing psychological distress.

The workplace, in many ways, mirrors the broader societal attitudes toward mental illness. In Pakistan's corporate and public sector environments, discussions about mental health are rare and often considered taboo. Employees who exhibit symptoms of depression, anxiety, or mood instability may be labeled as unprofessional, unreliable, or emotionally unstable. As a result, many individuals avoid disclosing their mental health conditions or seeking professional help, fearing social exclusion, career stagnation, or job termination. This concealment, however, often exacerbates their symptoms, leading to reduced productivity, higher absenteeism, and poor job satisfaction ultimately affecting organizational performance as well.

Despite the increasing prevalence of mood disorders in Pakistan where studies estimate that up to 35% of the population may suffer from some form of psychological distress mental health remains a neglected issue in workplace policies and practices. Most organizations do not provide mental health training, employee assistance programs (EAPs), or even basic resources for stress management. Workplace wellness initiatives, where they exist, tend to focus narrowly on physical health, leaving mental health out of the conversation entirely. This lack of institutional support further reinforces stigma and deters employees from engaging in help-seeking behaviors.

The intersection of stigma, silence, and structural inaction creates a hostile environment for employees with mood disorders, often leaving them isolated and unsupported. In such settings, the burden of managing a psychological condition is compounded by the fear of being judged, misunderstood, or penalized. The implications extend beyond the individual; organizations risk losing skilled

employees, facing higher turnover, and cultivating toxic work cultures where emotional well-being is neither acknowledged nor valued.

This research aims to explore the nature and drivers of stigma surrounding mood disorders in Pakistani workplaces. By investigating cultural attitudes, organizational norms, and policy gaps, the study seeks to uncover the systemic barriers that prevent the recognition and accommodation of mental health needs. The research also examines how individuals cope with these challenges, and what organizational interventions formal or informal might reduce stigma and promote mental well-being at work. In doing so, this study contributes to a growing body of scholarship on workplace mental health in the Global South, particularly in socio-cultural contexts where silence and stigma serve as dominant responses to psychological suffering. By centering the voices and experiences of employees navigating mood disorders in Pakistani workplaces, the research calls for a reimagining of how mental health is addressed not just as a private issue, but as a shared organizational and societal responsibility.

Literature Review

The stigma surrounding mental illness in the workplace has garnered significant scholarly attention over the past two decades. Globally, researchers have examined how stigma negatively affects the experiences, opportunities, and well-being of employees suffering from psychological disorders (Corrigan & Watson, 2002; Thornicroft, 2006). Mood disorders, including major depressive disorder and bipolar disorder, have been particularly associated with workplace challenges such as absenteeism, presenteeism, low productivity, and strained interpersonal relationships (Evans-Lacko et al., 2013). While extensive research has emerged from Western contexts, there remains a scarcity of studies focusing on South Asian countries, especially Pakistan, where cultural, religious, and institutional factors present a unique landscape of mental health stigma. Goffman (1963) defined stigma as an attribute that is deeply discrediting, reducing an individual "from a whole and usual person to a tainted, discounted one." In the context of mental health, stigma takes multiple forms: public stigma (societal attitudes), self-stigma (internalized shame), and structural stigma (institutional practices that disadvantage those with mental illness) (Corrigan et al., 2004). Mood disorders, particularly depression and bipolar disorder, are highly stigmatized due to their emotional visibility and episodic nature. Individuals experiencing such conditions are often seen as unreliable or unpredictable—characterizations that are particularly detrimental in professional settings where emotional stability is often equated with competence.

Studies in organizational psychology have demonstrated the detrimental impact of workplace stigma on both employee outcomes and organizational effectiveness. Employees with mental health conditions often delay or avoid seeking help due to fear of negative consequences such as demotion, dismissal, or social ostracism (Martin et al., 2015). Furthermore, mental health stigma can lead to workplace bullying, reduced peer support, and feelings of isolation (Brohan et al., 2010). The lack of organizational policies and manager training often exacerbates these issues, creating environments where stigma goes unchallenged and unaddressed.

In South Asia, mental illness is often viewed through a cultural lens that intertwines psychological distress with notions of spiritual impurity, divine punishment, or character flaws. Research by Karim et al. (2004) found that mental disorders in Pakistan are frequently associated with supernatural causes, including jinn possession or black magic. This cultural framing contributes to the marginalization of those suffering from mental illness and discourages medical or psychological interventions. Mental illness is also seen as a source of "sharam" (shame) for the individual and their family, which further fuels concealment and silence.

Empirical studies specifically examining workplace mental health in Pakistan are limited. A qualitative study by Imran et al. (2019) found that employees experiencing depression in urban workplaces often faced skepticism or ridicule from colleagues and superiors. Most workplaces lacked any formal mental health policies or mechanisms for support, forcing employees to either underperform in silence or exit the workforce altogether. The study highlighted that even educated professionals in sectors such as banking, education, and healthcare held stigmatizing attitudes, associating mood disorders with weakness, laziness, or lack of discipline.

Another survey by Malik and Khan (2021) reported that nearly 70% of Pakistani employees were unaware of any mental health services provided by their organizations. Furthermore, most HR departments lacked training or protocols to address psychological distress, resulting in informal, inconsistent, and often judgmental responses to mental health disclosures. The absence of confidentiality protocols also discouraged help-seeking, as employees feared their condition would be gossiped about or used against them in performance evaluations.

While the existing literature offers valuable insights, significant gaps remain. Few studies have explored how gender, class, and sector-specific dynamics influence mental health stigma in Pakistani workplaces. Most available research is urban-centric and limited in sample size, leaving rural and semi-urban work environments underexplored. Additionally, there is a lack of intervention-based studies that evaluate the effectiveness of awareness programs, peer-support groups, or policy reforms within the Pakistani context.

This literature review provides the foundation for understanding how stigma manifests in Pakistani workplaces and why addressing it requires culturally and structurally nuanced approaches.

Methodology

Research Design

This study adopts a qualitative exploratory research design to investigate the stigma associated with mood disorders in the workplaces of Pakistan. Given the sensitive nature of mental health and the cultural taboos surrounding it, qualitative methods allow for a deeper, more nuanced understanding of individual experiences, workplace dynamics, and institutional attitudes that quantitative data alone might not capture. The research combines a thematic content analysis of existing literature with semi-structured interviews, enabling both contextual breadth and personal depth.

Data Collection Methods

The study employs two primary sources of data:

1. **Literature and Policy Review**: A comprehensive review of existing academic literature, government reports, and workplace policies in Pakistan was conducted. Sources included academic journals, NGO reports, government publications (e.g., National Mental Health Policy), and HR documents (where available). The review helped identify prevailing narratives, policy gaps, and sectoral differences related to mental health in professional settings.

2. **Semi-Structured Interviews**: A total of 15 in-depth interviews were conducted with individuals from urban centers (Karachi, Lahore, Islamabad), working in sectors including education, banking, healthcare, IT, and the public sector. Participants included both employees who self-identified as having experienced mood disorders and HR professionals or line managers responsible for employee well-being. Interviews were conducted via Zoom or in-person, depending on availability and consent. Interview questions were open-ended and focused on the following themes:

- Personal experiences with mood disorders at work
- Perceptions of workplace culture and peer attitudes
- Reactions from supervisors or HR
- Disclosure challenges and consequences
- Availability and effectiveness of support systems

Interviews were conducted in English, Urdu, or a mix, depending on the participant's comfort, and were audio-recorded with informed consent.

Sampling Strategy

A purposive sampling technique was used to select participants who were likely to provide rich insights into the research question. Inclusion criteria for employees were:

- Currently or previously employed in a formal organization in Pakistan
- Self-identified experience with depression, bipolar disorder, or a related mood disorder
- Willingness to speak openly and confidentially about their experiences

For HR and management personnel, selection was based on:

- Involvement in staff evaluation, hiring, or mental health-related policy (if any)
- Experience with employees disclosing or exhibiting signs of psychological distress

Snowball sampling was used to identify additional participants through referrals.

Data Analysis: Interview transcripts were transcribed verbatim, translated where necessary, and analyzed using thematic analysis. Thematic codes were developed both deductively (based on the literature) and inductively (emerging from the data). Major themes included: fear of disclosure, perceived stigma, institutional silence, cultural framing of mental illness, gendered stigma, and informal coping strategies. NVivo software was used to manage and organize qualitative data.

Ethical Considerations: Ethical approval was obtained through an academic institutional review process. All participants provided informed consent, and confidentiality was strictly maintained. Pseudonyms were used in transcripts and reporting to protect identities. Given the sensitive subject matter, participants were also provided with a list of mental health support services in Pakistan after the interview.

Limitations: This study is limited in scope due to its qualitative and exploratory nature. Findings are not statistically generalizable but aim to provide insight into recurring patterns and lived experiences. The study is also limited to urban professional settings and may not fully reflect experiences in rural or informal sectors.

Results: The analysis of interviews and policy documents revealed consistent themes highlighting the presence, nature, and consequences of stigma around mood disorders in Pakistani workplaces. Participants reported a combination of personal, social, and institutional barriers that perpetuate silence, discourage disclosure, and exacerbate psychological distress. These findings are presented under three key thematic categories: **(1) Perceived Stigma and Fear of Disclosure**, **(2) Organizational Gaps in Mental Health Support**, and **(3) Coping Mechanisms and Responses**.

Perceived Stigma and Fear of Disclosure: Most participants expressed a strong reluctance to disclose their mental health status at work, fearing negative consequences such as job insecurity, gossip, or being perceived as "unfit" for their role. This was especially prominent among male participants, who cited societal expectations of emotional resilience as a barrier to vulnerability. Female participants also highlighted stigma, though some reported greater support from female supervisors.

Table 1: Common Fears Associated with Mental Health Disclosure

Type of Fear	% of Participants Reporting	Example Description
Job loss or demotion	73%	"They will say I can't handle pressure."
Gossip or workplace rumors	60%	"People start treating you differently, like you're weak."
Being denied promotions	53%	"They think you're unstable and not leadership material."
Being labeled "lazy"	67%	"You're accused of not being committed or hardworking."

Organizational Gaps in Mental Health Support: Participants consistently reported a lack of formal support systems within their organizations. While some multinational companies had global wellness policies, their implementation in Pakistan was weak or symbolic. Most local organizations had no structured approach to mental health, with HR departments often untrained and unprepared to handle sensitive disclosures.

Table 2: Availability of Workplace Mental Health Resources (Reported)

Resource/Support Mechanism	Availability (%)	Notes
Employee Assistance Programs (EAPs)	13%	Present only in select MNCs, usually not promoted
Trained HR/Mental Health Personnel	7%	Most HR officers had no mental health training
Stress or burnout workshops	20%	Irregular, often limited to physical wellness
Confidential grievance mechanisms	0%	No formal systems for private mental health disclosure

Coping Mechanisms and Informal Support: Despite the lack of institutional support, employees often developed informal coping strategies. These included peer-to-peer support, self-help through religious or spiritual practices, and in rare cases, private therapy (usually self-funded). Some participants avoided certain social settings or masked symptoms to maintain "professional normalcy."

Table 3: Common Coping Strategies Among Participants

Coping Strategy	% of Participants Using	Description
Withdrawing socially at work	67%	Avoiding unnecessary interactions to hide symptoms
Seeking help from trusted colleagues	40%	Selective disclosure to one or two colleagues
Spiritual practices (e.g., prayer)	73%	Used as an emotional anchor, especially during episodes
Private therapy (self-funded)	27%	Usually accessed through online or urban therapists
Substance use (e.g., sleeping pills)	20%	Unregulated, often without professional oversight

Summary of Key Findings

- Stigma is both cultural and institutional, with emotional suffering seen as personal weakness.
- Disclosure is rare and risky, especially in male-dominated industries.
- Organizations lack trained HR personnel and mental health frameworks.
- Employees turn to informal or individual coping strategies, which are often unsustainable in the long term.

Discussion

The findings of this study underscore the deep-rooted stigma surrounding mood disorders in Pakistani workplaces, shaped by cultural expectations, religious beliefs, and institutional neglect. The qualitative insights revealed that employees suffering from mood disorders often endure a dual burden: the psychological impact of the disorder itself and the social consequences of being perceived as “weak,” “unreliable,” or “unstable.” This aligns with global research that has documented the harmful effects of workplace stigma on individuals with mental health conditions (Corrigan & Rao, 2012; Brohan et al., 2010), but the intensity and silence surrounding mental illness in Pakistan appear to be uniquely reinforced by local cultural and religious narratives.

The fear of disclosure reported by most participants reflects Pakistan’s deeply collectivist culture, where maintaining a reputation of strength and stability is often more important than addressing individual health needs. Mental illness is still largely viewed as a moral or spiritual failing, rather than a clinical condition. This cultural stigma is particularly damaging in professional environments where

performance and emotional control are equated with competence. The findings suggest that men, in particular, face higher pressure to suppress vulnerability, given traditional expectations of stoicism and leadership. While some female participants reported more empathetic responses from female supervisors, overall support remained weak across gender lines.

These insights align with past research in the South Asian context, which highlights how patriarchy, family honor, and fatalistic interpretations of suffering contribute to internalized stigma (Karim et al., 2004; Khan et al., 2020). In the workplace, this results in emotional withdrawal, presenteeism, and isolation, as employees strive to mask their symptoms in order to "fit in."

5.2 Organizational Silence and Policy Deficiency

Another key theme emerging from the results is the near-total absence of formal support systems or mental health frameworks within Pakistani organizations. The findings reflect what can be described as **"institutional silence"**—a condition in which mental health is not denied outright but is passively ignored, sidelined, or dismissed as irrelevant to workplace well-being. This silence, combined with a lack of training and resources, leaves HR departments ill-equipped to respond sensitively or effectively to employees experiencing psychological distress.

Only a small proportion of participants reported access to structured mental health services such as Employee Assistance Programs (EAPs), and even in multinational companies, implementation was minimal or symbolic. This mirrors findings from other LMICs, where corporate mental health programs are often imported from Western models but are poorly adapted to local realities (Patel et al., 2018). The absence of policies not only perpetuates stigma but also reflects a broader disconnect between employer responsibilities and employee well-being.

The results of this study have several implications for workplace mental health in Pakistan:

- Policy Development: Organizations must develop formal mental health policies, including leave provisions, disclosure protections, and clear grievance mechanisms.
- Training and Education: HR departments and line managers should be trained in basic mental health literacy and crisis response protocols.
- Awareness Campaigns**:** Stigma-reduction campaigns tailored to the cultural and religious context of Pakistan can help challenge misconceptions and promote empathy.
- Access to Care: Partnerships with local mental health professionals can help provide affordable and confidential counseling services.

Conclusion and Recommendations

This study explored the stigma surrounding mood disorders in the workplaces of Pakistan, highlighting the personal, social, and institutional challenges faced by employees dealing with mental health conditions such as depression and bipolar disorder. The findings revealed that stigma is not only culturally entrenched but also structurally reinforced through the absence of formal policies, inadequate managerial training, and a general organizational silence around mental health issues.

Employees expressed a pervasive fear of disclosure, driven by concerns over job loss, professional credibility, and social alienation. These concerns were compounded by organizational environments that lacked confidentiality, empathy, or mental health resources. Most participants reported coping through informal strategies—spiritual practices, emotional suppression, or peer support—rather than formal institutional mechanisms. While these strategies offer short-term relief, they often fall short in addressing the long-term psychological and professional impacts of mood disorders.

The evidence presented in this study suggests that Pakistani workplaces remain largely unprepared to support employees with mood disorders. This not only undermines individual well-being but also affects organizational performance through reduced productivity, high turnover, and toxic workplace cultures.

Recommendations

To address these issues, the following recommendations are proposed:

1. **Develop Workplace Mental Health Policies**:

Organizations should establish clear policies that recognize mental health as a legitimate workplace issue. These should include:

- Mental health leave provisions
- Anti-discrimination protections
- Protocols for confidential disclosure and support

2. **Train Managers and HR Personnel**:

Training programs should focus on:

- Basic mental health awareness and identification
- Strategies for responding to psychological distress
- Confidentiality and non-judgmental communication

Such training must be adapted to the cultural and linguistic context of Pakistan to ensure effectiveness.

3. **Launch Culturally Sensitive Awareness Campaigns**:

Internal campaigns can challenge workplace stigma by:

- Sharing real stories of recovery and resilience
- Framing mental health within acceptable cultural and religious narratives
- Highlighting that mental illness is treatable and not a sign of weakness

These campaigns should be consistent, inclusive, and ideally co-created with employees who have lived experience.

4. **Establish Access to Mental Health Services**:

Employers can:

- Partner with local psychologists or telehealth platforms
- Subsidize private therapy for employees
- Introduce on-site or online mental health consultations

Even small-scale initiatives can significantly reduce stigma and promote help-seeking behavior.

5. Encourage Peer Support and Safe Spaces: Creating peer-led support groups or mental health "ambassadors" can help normalize conversations around psychological well-being and provide informal pathways to support.

6. Collaborate With Civil Society and Government: Organizations should align with national mental health goals and collaborate with NGOs or professional associations to integrate best practices. Government agencies can incentivize mental health inclusion through workplace safety regulations or tax benefits.

Final Thought

The fight against mental health stigma in the workplace is not just a policy issue—it is a cultural one. Addressing it requires a multi-level approach that includes individual education, organizational commitment, and broader societal change. By investing in mental health infrastructure, acknowledging employee struggles, and promoting empathy, Pakistani workplaces can become not just more productive, but more humane.

References

1. American Psychiatric Association. (2013). Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders (5th ed.). Arlington, VA: American Psychiatric Publishing.
2. Brohan, E., Henderson, C., Wheat, K., Malcolm, E., Clement, S., Barley, E. A., ... & Thornicroft, G. (2010). Systematic review of beliefs, behaviors and influencing factors associated with disclosure of a mental health problem in the workplace. BMC Psychiatry, 10(1), 83. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-244X-10-83

3. Corrigan, P. W., & Rao, D. (2012). On the self-stigma of mental illness: Stages, disclosure, and strategies for change. Canadian Journal of Psychiatry, 57(8), 464–469. https://doi.org/10.1177/070674371205700804
4. Corrigan, P. W., & Watson, A. C. (2002). Understanding the impact of stigma on people with mental illness. World Psychiatry, 1(1), 16–20.
5. Corrigan, P. W., Morris, S., Michaels, P., Rafacz, J., & Rüsch, N. (2012). Challenging the public stigma of mental illness: A meta-analysis of outcome studies. Psychiatric Services, 63(10), 963–973. https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.ps.201100529
6. Evans-Lacko, S., Knapp, M., McCrone, P., Thornicroft, G., & Mojtabai, R. (2013). The mental health consequences of the recession: Economic hardship and employment of people with mental health problems in 27 European countries. PLOS ONE, 8(7), e69792. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0069792
7. Goffman, E. (1963). Stigma: Notes on the management of spoiled identity. New York: Simon & Schuster.
8. Imran, N., Haider, I. I., Amjad, N., & Bhatti, M. R. (2019). Stigmatization of mental illnesses in Pakistan: A review of the literature. Journal of Pakistan Psychiatric Society, 16(2), 4–10.
9. Karim, S., Saeed, K., Rana, M. H., & Mubbashar, M. H. (2004). Pakistan mental health country profile. International Review of Psychiatry, 16(1–2), 83–92. https://doi.org/10.1080/09540260310001635177
10. Khan, M. M., & Reza, H. (2020). Cultural aspects of mental illness in Pakistan. Mental Health Review Journal, 25(1), 24–35.
11. Malik, A., & Khan, S. (2021). Organizational silence around mental health: A study of stigma and lack of mental health initiatives in Pakistani workplaces. Pakistan Business Review, 23(1), 55–70.
12. Martin, A., Sanderson, K., & Cocker, F. (2015). Meta-analysis of the effects of health promotion intervention in the workplace on depression and anxiety symptoms. Scandinavian Journal of Work, Environment & Health, 41(6), 490–504. https://doi.org/10.5271/sjweh.3511
13. Patel, V., Saxena, S., Lund, C., Thornicroft, G., Baingana, F., Bolton, P., ... & UnÜtzer, J. (2018). The Lancet Commission on global mental health and sustainable development. The Lancet, 392(10157), 1553–1598. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(18)31612-X
14. Rössler, W. (2016). The stigma of mental disorders: A millennia-long history of social exclusion and prejudice. EMBO Reports, 17(9), 1250–1253. https://doi.org/10.15252/embr.201643041
15. Sartorius, N. (2007). Stigma and mental health. The Lancet, 370(9590), 810–811. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(07)61245-8
16. Thornicroft, G., Brohan, E., Kassam, A., & Lewis-Holmes, E. (2008). Reducing stigma and discrimination: Candidate interventions. International Journal of Mental Health Systems, 2(1), 3. https://doi.org/10.1186/1752-4458-2-3
17. Thornicroft, G. (2006). Shunned: Discrimination against people with mental illness. Oxford University Press.
18. WHO. (2022). World mental health report: Transforming mental health for all. World Health Organization. https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789240049338
19. WHO. (2023). Mental health in the workplace. World Health Organization. https://www.who.int/teams/mental-health-and-substance-use/promotion-prevention/mental-health-in-the-workplace
20. Yousafzai, A. W., & Naveed, A. (2017). Cultural conceptions of mental health: Challenges and opportunities for mental health professionals in Pakistan. International Journal of Social Psychiatry, 63(4), 315–321. https://doi.org/10.1177/0020764017704606
21. World Bank. (2022). Pakistan country overview. https://www.worldbank.org/en/country/pakistan/overview
22. Rehman, N., & Abbas, S. (2020). An exploratory study on workplace stress and coping mechanisms in Pakistani corporate sector. Journal of Business Strategies, 14(2), 51–69.

23. Chaudhry, H. R., & Bokharey, I. Z. (2013). Mental health services in Pakistan: Past and present. Pakistan Journal of Neurological Sciences, 8(2), 24–31.
24. Niazi, A., & Hassan, M. (2021). Attitudes towards mental illness among employees in Pakistani organizations. Asian Journal of Management Sciences, 5(3), 16–26.
25. Haider, I. (2020). Breaking the silence: The mental health toll of workplace culture in Pakistan. DAWN News. Retrieved from https://www.dawn.com/news/1571231
26. Saeed, K., & Gater, R. (2002). The current state of mental health services in Pakistan. Psychiatric Services, 53(5), 623–626. https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.ps.53.5.623
27. Abbas, S., & Irfan, M. (2020). The impact of organizational support on mental health at work: Evidence from Pakistan. South Asian Journal of Human Resources Management, 7(1), 1–19. https://doi.org/10.1177/2322093720905435
28. Ali, B. S., Rahbar, M. H., Naeem, S., Tareen, A. L., Gul, A., & Samad, L. (2002). The effectiveness of counseling on anxiety and depression by minimally trained counselors: A randomized controlled trial. American Journal of Psychiatry, 159(10), 1832–1835.