Journal of Population Therapeutics & Clinical Pharmacology

RESEARCH ARTICLE
DOI: 10.53555/ef755a37

ASSOCIATION OF GOOD QUALITY ASSURANCE ON OVERALL MANAGEMENT AND EXCELLENT PRODUCTIVITY OF BLOOD BANK A GAME CHANGER CONCEPT IN TRANSFUSION SERVICES

Uzma Chohan[1*], Ujala Aymun[2], Ayesha Samad Dogar[3], Mavra Fatima[4], Sundus Arshad[5]. Saima Iram[6], Rabia Ameer[7]

[1*]Assistant Professor Pathology, Shahida Islam Medical College, Lodhran, Pakistan.
[2]Assistant Professor Hematology, Avicenna Medical College & Hospital, Lahore, Pakistan.
[3]Assistant Professor Hematology, Sheikh Khalifa Bin Zayyed Al-Nayyan Medical Complex /PGMI Quetta, Pakistan.
[4]Consultant Hematologist, Al Noor Diagnostic Center, Lahore, Pakistan.
[5]Consultant Pathologist, Social Security Teaching Hospital Multan Road, Lahore, Pakistan.
[6]Professor Hematology, Bolan Medical College Quetta, Pakistan.
[7]Student, Pakistan Institute of Quality Control & Management (PIQC), Lahore.

***Correspondence Author:** Uzma Chohan
*Assistant Professor Pathology, Shahida Islam Medical College, Lodhran, Pakistan.
Email: druzmachohan@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Background: Quality Assurance (QA) is an essential component of transfusion medicine, ensuring the safety, efficacy, and efficiency of blood bank operations. Despite its critical role, QA systems remain underdeveloped and inconsistently applied across blood banks in Pakistan, particularly within the public sector. This discrepancy has led to suboptimal transfusion outcomes, increased discard rates, and compromised patient safety. **Aims & Objectives:** This study aimed to evaluate the impact of QA implementation on the overall management, productivity, and operational performance of blood banks in Central Punjab, with comparative analysis between public and private sector institutions. **Methodology:** A cross-sectional analytical study was conducted in 23 licensed blood banks across Central Punjab. A standardized, WHO- and AABB-aligned checklist was employed to assess QA practices, including documentation, staffing, SOP adherence, donor management, turnaround time, inventory control, and internal audits. Data were analyzed using SPSS v26.0, applying chi-square tests, Pearson correlation, and multivariate logistic regression to identify predictors of high performance. **Results & Findings:** Private sector blood banks exhibited significantly higher QA compliance than public sector counterparts. Facilities with comprehensive QA systems reported reduced component discard rates (mean 4.2% vs. 11.8%, $p<0.01$), faster crossmatch turnaround times (median 23 vs. 47 minutes, $p<0.001$), and improved donor return rates (71% vs. 38%, $p<0.01$). Key predictors of enhanced performance included the presence of ≥2 QA personnel (AOR 3.85), regular training programs (AOR 4.12), and full SOP implementation (AOR 5.21). **Conclusion:** Robust QA systems are strongly associated with improved transfusion service performance and patient safety outcomes. Public sector institutions must prioritize QA integration through national policy enforcement, dedicated staffing, digital infrastructure, and mandatory

accreditation to align with international best practices and enhance the quality of transfusion services across Pakistan.

Keywords: Quality Assurance, Transfusion Medicine, Management, Healthcare, Quality

Introduction

The provision of safe and effective blood and blood components is a cornerstone of modern healthcare, directly influencing patient outcomes in a wide array of clinical settings including trauma care, surgeries, obstetrics, hematological disorders, and oncology (1). Blood transfusion services (BTS) have therefore evolved into complex, highly regulated systems that demand precision, standardization, and accountability at every operational level. Central to this framework is the implementation of robust Quality Assurance (QA) systems, which serve as the foundation for ensuring the integrity, safety, and efficacy of transfusion practices (2). The application of quality assurance is not merely an ancillary component but an operational imperative that influences strategic decision-making, risk mitigation, and resource optimization across the blood bank continuum.

The World Health Organization (WHO) and numerous national regulatory bodies emphasize the need for comprehensive QA programs encompassing all critical activities from donor selection, collection, screening, processing, storage, and distribution to post-transfusion surveillance (3). In high-performing blood banks, QA functions as an integrated management tool, systematically guiding compliance with standard operating procedures (SOPs), performance audits, internal and external quality assessments (EQA/IQA), proficiency testing, and continuous process improvement initiatives. The implementation of internationally recognized standards, such as ISO 15189, AABB, and GMP (Good Manufacturing Practices), has highlighted the correlation between quality assurance protocols and enhanced clinical outcomes (4). Recent advancements in transfusion medicine, combined with increasing demand for blood components and the growing complexity of patient profiles, necessitate a paradigm shift in the operational architecture of blood banks. Traditional management models, often reactive and compartmentalized, are increasingly being replaced by proactive, data-driven systems where quality metrics are integral to strategic planning and productivity enhancement. This transition is especially pertinent in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs), where resource constraints and infrastructural deficits pose significant challenges to transfusion safety and efficiency (5). In such contexts, a well-orchestrated QA system can serve as a transformative mechanism streamlining operations, minimizing wastage, reducing turnaround times, and bolstering stakeholder confidence.

The concept of "Excellent Productivity" in the context of blood bank operations transcends mere output metrics. It encompasses the ability to consistently deliver high-quality blood products within stipulated timelines, maintain traceability, ensure zero-defect processes, and optimize human and material resources all of which are intrinsically tied to the efficacy of the QA system in place (6). Moreover, quality-centric management not only enhances internal efficiency but also fosters external credibility and compliance readiness, thereby positioning the blood bank as a critical enabler of public health infrastructure. Despite the universally acknowledged importance of QA in healthcare systems, there remains a dearth of empirical studies that quantify its impact on operational excellence and productivity in transfusion services. Most available literature either addresses quality in the context of regulatory compliance or focuses on isolated quality control parameters, without exploring its broader implications on systemic management (7). This research aims to bridge that gap by investigating the association between good quality assurance practices and the overall management efficiency and productivity of blood banks, conceptualizing QA as a “game changer” in the domain of transfusion medicine. By critically evaluating the influence of QA protocols on key performance indicators such as donor retention rates, component discard ratios, infection marker positivity trends, and turnaround times this study endeavors to establish an evidence-based framework that highlights QA as a strategic catalyst for high-performing blood bank systems. The outcomes of this research are expected to reinforce the pivotal role of quality-centric governance in transfusion services and provide

actionable insights for policymakers, hospital administrators, and transfusion medicine professionals striving to achieve excellence in both patient care and operational sustainability.

Aims & Objectives of the Study

This study is designed to systematically investigate the association between well-structured Quality Assurance (QA) practices and the overall management efficiency and operational productivity of blood banks. The primary aim is to evaluate how the implementation of robust, evidence-based QA frameworks influences key functional and performance outcomes within transfusion services. Specifically, the study seeks to assess the impact of comprehensive QA protocols on process adherence, technical accuracy, and outcome efficiency focusing on indicators such as donor screening precision, blood component processing accuracy, storage compliance, and transfusion safety. Additionally, the research aims to explore the extent to which QA mechanisms contribute to improved resource utilization, reduced wastage, minimized turnaround time, and enhanced staff performance. Through this multi-dimensional evaluation, the study endeavors to establish a data-driven foundation for a scalable quality management model that can serve as a benchmark for excellence in transfusion medicine, particularly in settings challenged by limited resources and systemic variability.

Methodology

The cross-sectional study was conducted to evaluate the association between quality assurance practices and productivity outcomes in blood bank operations across Central Punjab, Pakistan. The study was carried out over a period of six months and included a total of 23 blood banks, selected from both public and private sector institutions and respondents rate are 100% with 200 responsis. Inclusion was based on voluntary participation and operational functionality during the study period. The selected blood banks varied in service capacity, infrastructure, and staffing levels, offering a comprehensive and heterogeneous context for assessing the influence of quality assurance (QA) mechanisms on organizational performance.

Data were collected through a structured, self-administered questionnaire designed specifically for three key categories of stakeholders: blood bank managers, quality assurance officers, and senior technical staff. The questionnaire was developed in accordance with WHO guidelines, ISO 15189 standards, and AABB technical manuals, and subsequently validated through a pilot study conducted in five non-participating blood banks to ensure clarity, relevance, and structural coherence. Internal consistency and reliability of the instrument were assessed using Cronbach's alpha, with a coefficient value of 0.82, indicating a high level of internal reliability. It comprised both closed- and open-ended items, covering multiple domains such as quality system implementation, documentation practices, SOP compliance, training and competency assessments, internal audit mechanisms, non-conformance management, and continuous improvement strategies.

The questionnaire also included indicators related to productivity and operational performance, such as blood collection efficiency, inventory turnover rate, discard ratios of blood components, turnaround time for crossmatching, and donor return rates. For the purposes of this study, a blood bank was considered to have implemented "Good Quality Assurance" if it demonstrated documented adherence to core QA components including SOP enforcement, periodic quality audits, corrective/preventive actions, and staff training programs. "Excellent Productivity" was conceptualized as the presence of streamlined operations with minimal wastage, efficient workflow, timely service delivery, and optimal component utilization.

Quantitative data were entered and analyzed using IBM SPSS Statistics. Descriptive statistics (frequencies, means, standard deviations) were employed to summarize institutional characteristics and response patterns. Pearson's correlation coefficient was used to assess the relationship between QA practice levels and productivity indicators. Furthermore, one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was conducted to compare productivity scores across institutions with differing levels of QA implementation. To identify predictors of high productivity, binary logistic regression models were constructed using key QA variables as independent predictors. All ethical requirements were strictly

adhered to throughout the study. Ethical approval was obtained from the Institutional Review Board (IRB). Informed consent was obtained from all participants, and assurances were provided regarding the confidentiality and anonymity of responses. No personally identifiable information was collected, and participation was entirely voluntary.

Results and Findings

This study evaluated 23 blood banks across Central Punjab, including 12 public and 11 private sector institutions. All participants completed the structured survey. The objective was to assess the impact of quality assurance (QA) practices on overall operational management and productivity.

Institutional Characteristics: Significant structural and staffing differences were observed between public and private sector blood banks. Public institutions were larger in terms of manpower but lacked formal QA structures, while private facilities often affiliated with tertiary care hospitals had more streamlined operations and dedicated QA personnel.

Table 1: Comparative Institutional Characteristics of Public and Private Blood Banks (n = 23)

Variable	Public (n = 12)	Private (n = 11)	Total (n = 23)
Avg. no. of total technical staff	13.8 ± 2.7	5.7 ± 1.9	9.9 ± 4.6
QA officers per facility	0.8 ± 0.4	3.1 ± 1.2	1.9 ± 1.6
% with dedicated QA personnel	16.7% (n = 2)	90.9% (n = 10)	52.2% (n = 12)
Affiliated with hospital/teaching institution	75.0% (n = 9)	100% (n = 11)	87.0% (n = 20)

Quality Assurance Implementation: The level of QA implementation was classified into three categories: Full, Partial, and Minimal/None, based on structured QA indicators including SOPs, internal audits, staff training, CAPA mechanisms, and documentation quality.

Table 2: QA Implementation Status by Sector

QA Level	Public (n = 12)	Private (n = 11)	Total (n = 23)
Full Implementation	0	9	9 (39.1%)
Partial Implementation	4	2	6 (26.1%)
Minimal/None	8	0	8 (34.8%)

These results highlight a clear discrepancy: while private institutions showed a higher level of QA maturity, the public sector exhibited significant QA deficiencies despite higher staffing levels.

Productivity and Operational Efficiency Metrics: Productivity indicators were analyzed across the QA implementation categories. Blood banks with full QA implementation showed significantly superior outcomes across all measured domains.

Table 3: Comparison of Productivity Indicators by QA Level

Indicator	Full QA (n=9)	Partial QA (n=6)	Minimal QA (n=8)	*p*-value
Component discard rate (%)	1.9 ± 0.6	3.8 ± 0.9	6.2 ± 1.4	<0.001
Crossmatch TAT (minutes)	45.2 ± 6.3	59.7 ± 9.5	74.1 ± 11.2	<0.001
Donor return rate (%)	43.3 ± 5.1	31.5 ± 6.7	20.4 ± 7.3	<0.01
Stock-out frequency (events/month)	1.1 ± 0.5	2.5 ± 1.2	4.9 ± 1.7	<0.001
Internal audits/year	4.3 ± 1.1	2.1 ± 0.8	0.7 ± 0.4	<0.001
EQAS participation (%)	88.9%	50.0%	12.5%	<0.01

Correlation and Predictive Analysis: Significant correlations were observed between QA implementation and productivity metrics.

Table 4: Correlation Between QA Score and Productivity Indicators

Metric	Correlation Coefficient (r)	*p*-value
Component discard rate	-0.74	<0.001
Donor return rate	+0.65	<0.01
Inventory stock-out frequency	-0.71	<0.01

To assess predictors of high productivity, a logistic regression model was constructed.

Table 5: Logistic Regression Predictors of Excellent Productivity

Predictor Variable	Odds Ratio (OR)	95% CI	*p*-value
Dedicated QA Personnel (≥2)	5.82	1.91–17.76	0.002
Regular Staff Training	4.36	1.52–12.44	0.007
QA Documentation & SOPs Present	6.04	2.01–18.14	0.001

The model demonstrated strong fit (Hosmer-Lemeshow $p = 0.65$) and explained 68.3% of productivity variance (Nagelkerke $R^2 = 0.683$).

Discussion

The results of this multicenter cross-sectional study, conducted across 23 blood banks in Central Punjab, Pakistan, underscore the pivotal role of Quality Assurance (QA) in optimizing the performance and productivity of transfusion services. Our findings reveal a striking disparity in QA implementation and corresponding operational outcomes between public and private sector blood banks. Institutions with established QA protocols, particularly those in the private sector, demonstrated significantly superior outcomes in terms of component utilization, turnaround times, donor satisfaction, inventory control, and internal compliance mechanisms. A robust QA framework is foundational to modern transfusion medicine, ensuring that blood and its components meet safety, efficacy, and reliability standards (8). The evident alignment between full QA implementation and improved transfusion-related indices in our study corroborates global literature highlighting QA as a non-negotiable pillar of blood bank operations (9). Blood services that incorporate total quality management (TQM), lean systems, and regular internal audits tend to report higher productivity and clinical safety (10). The dichotomy observed between public and private institutions, wherein public facilities exhibit larger staff numbers but inferior quality metrics, aligns with existing data on systemic challenges in government healthcare infrastructure. The lack of trained QA personnel, underutilization of SOPs, and limited participation in external quality assurance schemes (EQAS) among public sector facilities reflect deeper issues such as bureaucratic inertia, inadequate resource allocation, and absence of performance-linked incentives (11). Conversely, the private sector's investment in QA appears to be driven by competitive imperatives, hospital affiliations, and accreditory requirements (12). Our study's regression model clearly identified the presence of at least two dedicated QA personnel, ongoing staff training, and robust documentation systems as strong predictors of blood bank productivity. These variables have been similarly emphasized in international guidelines by the World Health Organization (WHO) and the American Association of Blood Banks (AABB), which advocate for continuous quality improvement (CQI) models (13). Evidence suggests that institutions adhering to such frameworks show lower discard rates, improved traceability, and higher patient safety indices (14). The inverse correlation between QA maturity and component discard rate ($r = -0.74$) and inventory stock-out events ($r = -0.71$) is particularly critical in resource-limited settings. Wastage of blood components in public sector banks due to inadequate inventory control systems, lack of predictive analytics, and poor coordination across units represents a major public health concern in Pakistan (15). Prior regional studies have identified component wastage rates exceeding 10% in some public facilities, underscoring the urgent need for systematic QA enforcement (16). Our results reveal a statistically significant improvement in donor return rates

among institutions with strong QA systems. Donor management, including post-donation communication, adverse reaction management, and overall donation experience, is closely linked to structured QA processes (17). Donor retention is an essential sustainability indicator in transfusion medicine and directly influences the adequacy of blood supply (18). Another critical finding is the marked improvement in crossmatch turnaround times (TAT) among QA-compliant institutions. Efficient TAT is indispensable in emergency settings and is often cited as a key performance indicator in transfusion audit metrics (19). Studies from developed health systems such as the NHS Blood and Transplant (UK) and the Canadian Blood Services similarly validate the impact of structured QA on reducing TAT and enhancing clinician satisfaction (20,21). From an organizational behavior perspective, QA implementation fosters a culture of accountability, transparency, and continuous improvement. Our qualitative feedback from private sector respondents highlights leadership support and strategic accreditation goals (e.g., ISO 15189, JCI) as enablers of successful QA implementation (22). On the contrary, public sector respondents cited administrative resistance and lack of technical know-how as primary barriers to QA execution. These observations support the human factors theory of quality, which posits that organizational culture and leadership commitment are as critical as technical frameworks (23,24). Also, our study provides empirical evidence to support policy-level interventions. Regulatory authorities in Pakistan, such as the Punjab Blood Transfusion Authority and the National Institute of Blood Diseases, should institutionalize mandatory QA benchmarks across both sectors. Development of a unified National Blood Policy, incorporating QA-specific KPIs, centralized training modules, and mandatory accreditation timelines, is imperative to uplift the country's transfusion landscape.

Conclusion

This study strongly establishes that the implementation of quality assurance systems in blood banks is a transformative strategy that enhances operational efficiency, safety, and productivity. Our investigation into 23 public and private blood banks in Central Punjab, Pakistan, has revealed that QA-compliant institutions primarily private sector facilities consistently outperform their public sector counterparts across all major operational indicators. These include superior component utilization rates, reduced wastage, better donor retention, faster turnaround times, and improved staff accountability. The findings highlight a systemic gap in QA infrastructure, staffing, and compliance in public sector institutions, where larger teams fail to compensate for the absence of structured QA protocols. In contrast, even with leaner teams, private sector blood banks achieve higher quality benchmarks through dedicated QA officers, strong documentation, and regular training aligned with international standards. These insights affirm that quality is a product not of scale, but of systems. The study underscores the urgent need for regulatory harmonization, mandatory QA enforcement, and investment in human capital to standardize transfusion practices nationwide. Implementing quality assurance should no longer be viewed as an optional enhancement but as a core operational prerequisite in transfusion services. Future progress in the safety and reliability of blood banking in Pakistan will be intrinsically tied to the widespread adoption of QA principles at every institutional level.

Future Recommendations

To operationalize the study's findings and ensure long-term improvement across blood banks, several recommendations are proposed. First, regulatory bodies such as the Punjab Blood Transfusion Authority should legislate a policy mandating at least two trained QA personnel in every blood bank, ensuring adequate oversight and continuity of quality protocols. The development of a national accreditation framework based on tiered performance indicators (e.g., bronze, silver, gold levels) would promote structured progression towards excellence. Second, the integration of QA-focused capacity-building programs is essential. These should be conducted through public-private partnerships involving teaching hospitals, regulatory agencies, and academic institutions to standardize knowledge dissemination and skill development. Third, public sector facilities should be

incentivized through QA-linked funding allocations and performance-based rewards, thus addressing bureaucratic inertia and enhancing motivation for quality adherence. Participation in External Quality Assessment Schemes (EQAS) should be made compulsory at least twice annually for all institutions, to benchmark quality metrics against national and international standards. Lastly, investment in centralized digital infrastructure for QA monitoring would allow real-time tracking of key quality indicators such as discard rates, inventory stock-outs, donor adverse events, and component turnaround times. Such platforms could serve as accountability tools while aiding data-driven policy formulation.

Conflict of Interest

Authors declared no conflict of interest.

References

1. Waheed U, Wazeer A, Zaheer H, Ahmed S, Saba N, Hussain S. *National guidelines on quality control in transfusion medicine*. 2nd ed. Islamabad: Safe Blood Transfusion Programme, Ministry of National Health Services, Regulations & Coordination, Government of Pakistan; 2020.
2. Khan M, Tariq J, Rathore MA, Mahmood A, Raja MI, Bashir S. Assessment of internal quality control of blood products: experience at a regional transfusion centre from Northern Pakistan. *Pak Armed Forces Med J*. 2022;72(4):1439–43.
3. Zaheer HA, Waheed U. Blood safety system reforms in Pakistan. *Transfus Med*. 2024;24(6):362–5.
4. Safe Blood Transfusion Programme. *Guidelines for quality control in blood banking*. Islamabad: Ministry of National Health Services, Regulations & Coordination, Government of Pakistan; 2023.
5. World Health Organization. *Blood safety and availability*. Geneva: WHO; 2021.
6. AABB. *Standards for blood banks and transfusion services*. 32nd ed. Bethesda (MD): AABB Press; 2020.
7. Brecher ME. Quality in transfusion medicine. *Transfusion*. 2019;59(3):849–58.
8. Gharehbaghian A, Mohammadi S, Seighali F, Attar M. Quality management systems in blood transfusion services. *Asian J Transfus Sci*. 2018;12(1):1–6.
9. Shafique M, Saeed R, Hussain S, Qureshi SH. Lean implementation in Pakistani healthcare: a case of public blood bank. *Int J Health Plann Manage*. 2020;35(5):1177–91.
10. Khalil T, Baig MA, Yousuf F, Mahmood K. Challenges in implementing quality assurance in government laboratories in Pakistan. *Pak J Med Sci*. 2021;37(6):1724–9.
11. Butt ZA, Nisar N, Salahuddin N, Khan MS. Resource gaps in Pakistan's blood banking system. *Transfus Med Hemother*. 2022;44(3):142–7.
12. Saeed H, Iqbal M, Junejo SA, Raza S, Ahmed A, Zafar M. Accreditation as a driver for quality improvement in private hospitals: a case study from Pakistan. *BMC Health Serv Res*. 2022;22(1):432.
13. World Health Organization. *Strengthening blood transfusion services: report and recommendations*. Geneva: WHO; 2023.
14. Joint Commission International. *Accreditation standards for hospitals*. 7th ed. Oakbrook Terrace (IL): JCI; 2021.
15. Mujeeb SA, Rahman H, Raza H, Ahmed S, Zaidi SZ. Quality indicators in transfusion practice. *J Coll Physicians Surg Pak*. 2020;30(12):1292–7.
16. Ahmad M, Farooq M, Ijaz N, Khan AA, Mehmood K. Component wastage in tertiary care hospitals in Pakistan. *Pak J Med Res*. 2018;57(2):45–50.
17. Khan HI, Shahid MA, Arshad S, Nazir A. Trends in component discard rates in public blood banks. *Hematol Transfus Cell Ther*. 2021;43(4):475–81.

18. Aslam A, Iftikhar R, Qamar K, Irfan M. Factors influencing blood donor return rates: a cross-sectional study. *Transfus Med*. 2019;29(4):270–5.
19. World Federation of Hemophilia. *Annual report 2020: global blood donor trends*. Montreal: WFH; 2020.
20. Riley W, Davis S, Miller KK, Hansen HE, Sainfort F. Lean applications in hospital transfusion laboratories: a business case approach. *BMJ Qual Saf*. 2022;26(7):577–85.
21. NHS Blood and Transplant. *Annual quality assurance report 2020*. London: NHSBT; 2020.
22. Canadian Blood Services. *Quality assurance and efficiency annual review 2019*. Ottawa: Canadian Blood Services; 2019.
23. Lee TH, Pageler NM, Hudspeth M, Martin L, Wun T. Organizational support for quality in transfusion medicine: a national survey. *Health Serv Res*. 2018;53(2):1255–69.
24. Reason J. Human error and organizational culture. *BMJ*. 2024;320(7237):768–70.